

THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

KHCAA/HC/201/2025

11 December 2025

To,

The Hon'ble Chief Justice High Court of Kerala Ernakulam – 682031.

Sir,

SUB: Judicial City at Kalamassery

REF: KHCAA Letter No KHCAA/HC/18/2024 dated 08/2/2024

- 1. The Bar is the eyes and the ears of the Bench and this letter is written to clearly communicate the opposition of the Bar as regards the establishment of the Judicial City at Kalamassery as it will severely dent the reputation of the High Court of Kerala in the eyes of the People. The KHCAA had passed a resolution in 2024 opposing any move to shift the High Court of Kerala to Kalamassery. A very detailed letter referred above was written to the Hon'ble Chief Justice and a copy of the same is annexed to this letter as Annexure-1.
- 2. The KHCAA was shocked to know the about an IA filed by the State before the Supreme Court seeking possession of 27 acres of HMT land "to shift the Judicial Wing of the High Court to the Judicial city as a first phase of the Project". The KHCAA during its Annual General Body meeting on 27 November 2025 passed a resolution to enable the Association to intervene in the matter before the Supreme Court to oppose the relocation of the High Court of Kerala to the proposed 'Judicial City'.

Johanny

Mrs



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

- 3. The intent of the State to relocate the High Court of Kerala to Kalamassery is opposed by the Bar for the following reasons:
 - (a) The present building is less than 20 years old: This High Court building is less than 20 years old and no High Court in this country has been rebuilt within a span of 20 years. This need for more space will raise serious questions on inadequate planning and spending of State funds without proper planning.
 - (b) The dire status of the infrastructure of the District Judiciary: For the majority of the people in Kerala, the District Judiciary is their final court. The High Court of Kerala is fully aware of the dire status of the infrastructure of the District Judiciary. Most Courts in the District Judiciary in Kerala operate from old dilapidated buildings or rented buildings, some of which does not even have 'toilet' facilities. A Suo Motu Writ Petition W.P.(C) 26631 of 2024 on Judicial Infrastructure is pending before this Hon'ble Court and it will give an idea of the dire status of the infrastructure of the District Judiciary. How can we justify building a new High Court within 20 years of construction of the present building when the infrastructure of the District Judiciary has been deprived of any developments for all these years? How can the State say that it has no funds for the District Judiciary but can afford to develop 'Judicial City' by discarding buildings which are less than 20 yrs old.
 - (c) Adequate Space available in the High Court Building: This High Court has about 6 lakh sq. ft of space that is adequate to contain all the Courts and can easily be expanded to have 60 courtrooms. Kerala High

phony

M



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

Court is fully computerised and all physical files can be eliminated. All physical files can be moved to another building close by which can be developed as 'record rooms'. If a comparison is made with other High Courts across the country, it will easily be established that the High Court of Kerala has adequate space availability and has a very high Judge to space ratio.

- (d) Availability of adequate land around the present High Court: There is 17.73 acres of Housing Board Land available adjacent to the present High Court. The State of Kerala had declared that the land will be used to develop an international convention centre. This 17.73 acres will be more than sufficient to meet all future needs of the High Court of Kerala and it will be financially prudent for the State, that is dancing on the borders of bankruptcy, to earmark this land for the High Court of Kerala. In addition to this land there is another land parcel of about 1.5 acres that is currently housing a Sub Jail and dilapidated quarters for Police personnel. This land can be exclusively developed to house a Record room and multi-level parking and this land is adjacent to the present High Court.
- (e) Continuing expenditure on infrastructure around the present High Court Building: The State Government has been continuously spending on infrastructure developments around the present High Court Building, a few of which are as follows:
 - (i) The State sanctioned Rs.11.464 crores for constructing the building for Directorate for Prosecution by its G.O(Rt)No. 770/2023/Home dated 24.03.2023, a copy of which is annexed as

Mahmy

My



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

Annexure-2. This Building would be operational in the next three months.

- (ii) The State sanctioned Rs.1,11,30,000 (One Crore Eleven Lakhs Thirty Thousand) for the construction of truss work over the court hall of the Hon'ble Chief Justice by its G.O(Rt)No. 1269/2024/Home dated 03.05.2024, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure-3.
- (iii) The State sanctioned Rs.2,87,10,000/- (Two Crore Eighty Seven Lakhs Ten Thousand) for 'repair and maintenance of three storeyed building in the old High Court Compound' by its G.O(Rt)No. 3453/2024/Home dated 20.11.2024, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure-4.

These are the only government orders that could be fished out in the short time. We are certain that a lot more public funds have been spent on the infrastructure of the present High Court and now without any justification, the High Court is proposed to be relocated to another place.

(f) Discarding the present infrastructure costing hundreds of crores of Public Money: The present High Court building with 6 lakhs sq. ft, the old High Court building, the Advocate General Office, the Directorate of Prosecution, the Chamber Complex, the Kerala Judicial Academy, the bungalows and apartments of Judges will all have to be discarded and it would be criminal waste of public money particularly when the infrastructure of the District Judiciary is in dire status. The money, if at

felout

m



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

all any is earmarked, should first be used to improve and develop the infrastructure of the District Judiciary.

(g) Inadequate public infrastructure at the proposed 'Judicial City':

The present High Court building is in the heart of the city and has access to public transport such as Railway stations, metro stations, Bus stands and Water metro all within 2 kms allowing great connectivity and access to advocates and litigants across the State. The proposed site for 'Judicial City' is far away from the city with only one approach road and that too is not wide enough to manage the traffic approaching the Judicial city alone. The public transport facilities are all very far and advocates and litigants coming from other districts will have difficulty to access the High Court. Moreover, the Project report of the Judicial city itself shows that the land is on a slope that is 11 meters below the road surface. There are high Tension Electricity cable moving through the proposed site. The area is earmarked for 'industrial use' and any plan to shift the High Court to the proposed Judicial city will require massive investment to develop public infrastructure alone and the state dancing on the borders of bankruptcy is unlikely to make such huge investment.

(h) There has not been any deliberations in the Full Court: The IA filed by the State in the Hon'ble Supreme Court shows that the Full Court had deferred the discussion on the Judicial city until the land is free of litigation. The proposal to move therefore doesn't have the backing of the Full Court and it seems that the planning involved only a handful of Judges. In any case the plan to have Judicial city in phases with the

John Sty.

Mr



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

Judicial wing move in the first phase certainly has not been deliberated in the Full Court. Unless, residences are provided for the Judges inside the Judicial city, it would be a nightmare to commute along the narrow road during peak hours.

(i) The Bar will be uprooted and will take decades to settle down: The State of Kerala has no major industries and therefore the litigations in the State are not high-stake battles. A majority of the advocates are from the middle class who cannot afford to set up another office close to the proposed Judicial city as the land prices around the Proposed Judicial City has sky rocketed following the announcement by the State. Therefore, if the High Court is relocated, the already established infrastructure of Advocates will be seriously affected. Every advocate will have to start again from scratch and this will greatly affect the Bar and it will take decades for the Bar to settle down. The Project documents show that the rentals in future will be 'prohibitively high' around the present High Court, but the fact is that the businesses in the city have shifted and space is available in plenty around the present High Court. The land prices and rentals have sky rocketed near the proposed site and it will be impossible for a majority advocates in the middle class to afford rentals near the proposed Judicial City. The advocates practicing in the High Court are also having matters in District Courts and other Tribunals around the city. Shifting of the High Court without shifting the District Judiciary and other Tribunals will further put stress on advocates as commuting between courts will pose serious problems to Advocates.

planotaly

May



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph: 2393244, Fax: 0484 - 2394435, Email: k.hcaa@yahoo.com

- (j) The definitive involvement of the Real Estate Lobby: The proposal to shift the High Court of Kerala exposes the interests of two real estate lobbies. One is the interest in 17.73 acres belonging to State Housing Board which is adjacent to the present High Court and has a budgetary proposal of developing it as an international convention centre. While the State has pleaded that 70 acres are with Blustar Realtors, the State did not even make a feeble attempt to 'acquire' the land even when the project proposal clearly states that 75 acres of land is required to meet the Floor Area Ratio of the Judicial City. Therefore, it is clear that the State is safeguarding the interest of 'Blustar Realtors' while continuing to push the proposal of Judicial City. The desperation is so high that the State has unilaterally decided to shift the 'Judicial wing of the High Court as a first phase of the Judicial City project'. A State that is on the verge of Bankruptcy will have to first spend huge money on the public infrastructure even before developing the Proposed Judicial City and if the Judicial wing is shifted as a first phase, the rest of the Project will not see the light of the day as the State has no funds to complete the entire Project.
- (k) Serious conflict of interest: The proposed 'Judicial City' falls within the constituency of the present Law Minister of the State of Kerala. When there are alternative lands available to the State and when the total cost would be substantially lower to maintain the High Court in its present building, the attempt of the State to relocate to 'proposed Judicial City' where substantial investments has to be made first to develop public infrastructure and then to develop the Judicial

ylshowy

Nos



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

infrastructure while discarding the infrastructure that is already available, the decision cannot be justified especially when there is a serious conflict of interest involving the Law minister himself.

- 4. The prime reason for shifting the High Court is on the basis of inadequate parking space. The story of 'Parking Space' around all the High Courts across the nation is same and that alone cannot be a reason to shift the entire High Court to another place. The current parking space requirement is for about 2000 cars and 3000 two wheelers. The planned parking space in the Proposed Judicial City is for only 2000 cars and 2500 two wheelers. Inadequate planning has been the 'hallmark' of the project documents alone and the Bar was never consulted even once on the Proposed Judicial City. The Bar specifically points out that unless there is a minimum available parking space for 4000 cars and 5000 Two wheelers, the issue of parking space will again flare up within a year of opening the proposed Judicial City.
- 5. The Association and its members do not anymore fall for only lip service to 'Bar being mother of Judiciary', unless the Bench takes concrete steps to take the Bar along on decisions that affect the Bar and the litigants. It is the Bar that becomes the mouthpiece for the Judiciary and it is the Bar that manages the litigants and their perception of the Judiciary. The Bar will never be able to justify the spending of huge public funds on developing new infrastructure for the High Court when the Bar is even unaware about the need to shift the High Court or the lack of adequate space in the Present Building.

Loverloy

Not



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

6. The Bar brings to attention of the Bench that the people are watching the proposal to spend public fund on developing a new High Court building when the infrastructure of the District Judiciary is in a very poor State. The public perceives it as irresponsible fiscal management by a State that is on the verge of Bankruptcy. The increase of 'court fees' has taken 'access to Justice' further away from the people and when the money is spent for 'Judicial City', it is perceived as extravaganza by the public. The members of the Bar have been kept away from all discussions and decisions and this means the Bar will not be able to justify the act of relocating the High Court within 20 years of being operational in a new building. When 'administration of Justice' is a cart of which the Bar and the Bench are the two wheels, we must emphasize that the cart will go to the Judicial city only on one wheel.

Sincerely,

Adv. M. R. Nandakumar Secretary

Adv. Yeshwanth Shenoy
President

Encl:

- 1) Annexure A: KHCAA Letter No KHCAA/HC/18/2024 dated 8.2.2024
- 2) Annexure B: G.O(Rt)No. 770/2023/Home dated 24.03.2023
- 3) Annexure C: G.O(Rt)No. 1269/2024/Home dated 03.05.2024
- 4) Annexure D: G.O(Rt)No. 3453/2024/Home dated 20.11.2024



Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

KHCAA/ HC/18/2024

08.02.2024

To,

The Hon'ble Chief Justice, High Court of Kerala, Ernakulam – 682031.

Sir,

SUB: Judicial City at Kalamassery

- 1. The Association and the advocate community were shocked to hear the proposal to transfer the High Court of Kerala from its present location to Kalamassry when the Registrar (Administration) wrote to the Association on 22 January 2024. The said letter stated "it has been decided to develop a Judicial Academy, Advocate General's Office, Lawyers Chamber complexes at Kalamassery, Ernakulam in the land in the ownership of HMT Ltd."
 - Considering the impact of the move on the Advocates community, the same was placed before the General Body of the Association held on 30 January 2024.
 - 3. The General Body passed a unanimous resolution to oppose the move to shift the High Court and entrusted the Executive Committee to study the proposal and make appropriate representation before your Lordship.
 - 4. Accordingly, the Association studied the issue and brings your Lordship's attention to the following concerns of the Association.



Reg. No. ER 931/2004 5

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

(a) Is there any 'decision' or it was a mere approval to 'study' the Proposal? The Association has come to know that on 9.11.2023 in the meeting between your Lordship and the Hon'ble Chief Minister, the agenda was surrounding the 17.73 acres of Housing Board Land adjacent to the High Court Building. The proposal for the 'Judicial City' came from the Hon'ble Chief Minister and your Lordship only agreed to 'study' the proposal. However, the news was leaked and caused considerable distress to the Advocate's community as none of them or the Association was aware of any of such 'decisions'. Unfortunately, the words used by the Registrar (Administration) also conveyed the move to shift as a 'decision' and not as a 'proposal'. The advocates strongly opposed the move for this basic reason that no one thought it fit to include the advocates in the 'decision' making process.

(b) Full Court decision against shifting High Court to Kalamassery:

The Association also have specific information that the same land at Kalamassery was offered by the government in the late 80's or early 90's and the Full court deliberated and rejected the proposal. This file is an 'R' file (means retained) and the same would be available with the Registry. The present High Court Building was constructed after the full court rejected the proposal to shift the High Court to Kalamassery. The foundation stone for the present building was laid in 1994 and the building construction was completed in 2006. It took 12 years for the building work and most of the delay was caused by lack of funds and the funds flowed only after the intervention by this Hon'ble Court.

(c) Hundreds of crores of Public fund spent: The Government has spent a few hundred crores to construct the present building and continues to spend money to maintain the safety of the present building. In addition,



THE KERALA HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION

Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

the Government spent public funds to construct the Kerala Judicial Academy and the same is less than 6 years old. The government spent money on other judicial institutions too. In short, hundreds of crores of public fund have already been spent on constructing all these institutions that is now proposed to shift to the proposed 'Judicial City'.

- (d) Lack of Space: We have a fair idea of the facilities in the High Courts across the country. By far, we will be in the top 5 in terms of space. There would be at least 30-40 rooms filled with 'files'. We have ambitiously pushed the e-filing system and according to the Registry, all files will be digitized in 8 months. Once the digitalisation is complete, we will have these 30-40 room available for use and these physical files can either be returned or shifted to any record room. Many high Courts have record rooms far away from the High Court.
- (e) Raises serious issue of 'need and necessity' and serious charges of 'lack of planning': No where in India has the High Court or Judicial Academy been constructed /shifted in the manner it is being proposed in Kerala. The High Court is about 16 yrs old and Judicial Academy just about 5 yrs old. The very idea of shifting will raise serious question on the 'need and necessity' for the same and was there a total 'lack of planning' when these buildings were constructed.
- (f) Foundation Stone for DGP Office: The Government is again raising eyebrows and their 'intent' when the Hon'ble Chief Minister himself laid the foundation stone for the DGP office on 4 February 2024 and announced the cost of the project as "Rs.11 crores". If the government is again raising eyebrows and their 'intent' when the Hon'ble Chief Minister himself laid the foundation stone for the DGP office on 4 February 2024 and announced the cost of the project as "Rs.11 crores". If the government is again raising eyebrows and their 'intent' when the Hon'ble Chief Minister himself laid the foundation stone for the DGP office on 4 February 2024 and announced the cost of the project as "Rs.11 crores". If the government is again raising eyebrows and their 'intent' when the Hon'ble Chief Minister himself laid the foundation stone for the DGP office on 4 February 2024 and announced the cost of the project as "Rs.11 crores". If the government is serious about "Judicial city", would it have been prudent to spend



Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

another 11 crores on a building that also will be shifted to the 'Judicial City'?

- (g) The horrible condition of lower Judiciary: When we are planning to abandon the current buildings constructed upwards of 500 crores and Planning to spend upwards of 500 crores for the Judicial city, we need to ask ourselves about the state of lower Judiciary. We don't need to travel the width and breadth of Kerala and inspect the state of Courtrooms, even a small trip in and around Ernakulam alone will give us a picture of the dire status of lower Judiciary. During rains, the roofs leaks, there are no washrooms for judges leave alone litigants and advocates. The Bar and the Bench work for the litigants, for many of whom the District Judiciary functions as the 'Supreme Court'. We can't close our eyes to the crying needs of the lower Judiciary for better facilities and it would be a crime to spend money on a 'Judicial City' by ignoring the needs of the lower Judiciary.
- (h) Whether the government has 'money' to complete such a project? There are affidavits after affidavits filed by the Government in this Hon'ble Court as to the lack of funds. The Association also have been informed that the Government has some dues to be paid to Legal Benefit Fund, the Welfare Fund etc. In such a state of affairs, it will be difficult to walk into a proposal which requires not less than 500 crores to even meaningfully start this Project.
- Board Land: The State Budget presented this week allocated about 2000 crores for the commercial and residential complex in the Housing Board Land which was requested for by the High Court. The intent of the Government is clear that they do not want to part with that land with





Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph: 2393244, Fax: 0484 - 2394435, Email: k.hcaa@yahoo.com the High Court. The land has to have buffer zones and cannot be developed for commercial interests. The only development would be for 'sovereign' requirements and the High Court would fully qualify for the same and we suggest that we continue to pursue this land. In any case, there are many other lands around the present Building that can be made available to the High Court provided the government has such an intent.

- 5. If there are any safety issues, we need to know: We have been hearing for a while about the structural instability of the High Court Building. However, no communication as regards this has been made by anyone to the Association. If there are structural issues that concerns safety of all of us, we expect to know about it. This would be the only ground on which we will need to seriously deliberate, but even in this case, we will have a need to fix accountability on persons responsible for the state of affairs.
- 6. The advocates need to be taken into confidence even while planning such moves: The opposition of advocates solely arise because of the total lack of consultation with the Advocates. Judges spend 10-15 years on an average in any High Court, but it is advocates who spend a lifetime and yet no one thought it necessary to consult the Association before any 'decision' was made. The advocates do not see any reason for the change, the advocates see wastage of hundreds of crores of Public Fund and also further expenditure of public Funds without any adequate reasoning and considers it necessary to hold persons accountable. Further, the Advocates are unwilling to allow anyone to make any unfair gains by riding on the Judicial Institution which survives on faith of "We the People".



Reg. No. ER 931/2004

High Court Building, Kochi - 682 031. Ph : 2393244, Fax : 0484 - 2394435, Email : k.hcaa@yahoo.com

7. Advocates will have to be made a part of the 'decision' making process:

While we have raised these questions, the Advocates are the most reasonable and respected members of the society. If we are made a part of the decision-making process, we will be able to convey to the members, the 'need and necessity' which could be put up before the General Body so that the advocates can make an 'informed' choice.

For the reasons stated above, the KHCAA strongly opposes any move to shift the High Court of Kerala from its present location and also humbly requests your Lordship to have adequate representation of advocates in the 'proposed' High Power Committee.

Sincerely,

Adv. Anoon W Nair

Secretary

Adv. Yeshwanth Shenoy

President



Rocaival Association 3/23

13 Ma.770/2023 /HOME







GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

Home Department - Construction of new building for the Directorate of Prosecution, Emakulam - Administrative Sanction - Accorded - Orders issued.

HOME (S) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(Rt)No.770/2023/HOME Dated, Thiruvananthapuram, 24-03-2023

Read 1 Letter No. 1407/D/2021/DGP dated 06.09.2021, 22.12.2021 and 07.02.2023 from the Director of Prosecution.

2 G.O.(Rt.)No. 1955/2022/HOME dated 15.07.2022

3 G.O.(Ms.) No. 23/2023/RD dated 03.02.2023

ORDER

The Director of Prosecution in his letter read above, has requested Administrative Sanction for an amount of Rs.11.464 crore for the construction of the building, Directorate of Prosecution at Ernakulam.

In pursuance to his letter the proposal has been examined in detail and the Government are pleased to accord Administrative Sanction for utilizing the amount of 11.464 crore (Rupees Eleven Crore Forty Six Lakh and Four Thousand Only) from the Head of Account '4059-01-051-68 construction of building for Prosecution General - plan'.

Utilization of fund in this regard will be limited to budget allocation in each financial year

(By order of the Governor) BEENA PS JOINT SECRETARY

To:

De!

The Director General of Prosecution

The Accountant General (A&E/Audit) Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram. The Finance Department (Vide UO.No.2361357: EXP-A4/73/2023-FIN dtd

23.03.2023)

Web & New Media, I&PRD(for uploading in the Goot Website)

Stock File/ Office Copy.(E-2352381)

Forwarded / By order

Signed by Narendra Varma R

Dale 24:03:2023 11:53:07





GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

Home Department - Judiciary - Construction of truss work over the Court hall of the Hon'ble Chief Justice- Administrative Sanction accorded - Orders issued.

HOME (S) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(Rt)No.1269/2024/HOME Dated, Thiruvananthapuram, 03-05-2024

Read Letter no J(B)- 101647/2022 dated 24-11-2023 from the Registrar (Administration), High Court of Kerala

ORDER

As per the letter read above, the Registrar (Administration), High Court of Kerala has forwarded estimates for the construction of truss work over the court hall of the Hon'ble Chief Justice and requested Government to accord administrative sanction for an estimated amount of Rs. 1,11,30,000/- (Rupees One Crore Eleven Lakhs and Thirty Thousand only) for the work by meeting the expenditure under the Head of Account "4059-01-051-98".

- 2. Government have examined the matter in detail and are pleased to accord Sanction for the work "High Court of Kerala- providing truss work above Hon'ble Chief Justice's Court Hall at the 4th floor of the new High Court building" at an estimated cost of Rs. 1,11,30,000/-(Rupees One Crore Eleven Lakhs and Thirty Thousand only), by meeting the expenditure from the Head of Account "4059-01-051-98-16"-(PV) subject to the following conditions:
- i. It shall be ensured that the proposal is accepted by Competent Authority on behalf of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.
- ii. The Competent Authority shall ensure the structural stability of the proposal and the structural steel work shall be economically designed, being the major item.
- iii. The provisions, quantities and rates shall be verified and confirmed

by the TS authority.

iv. A copy of TS estimate shall be forwarded to Finance.

v. All LS provisions shall be operated based on approved detailed estimate only.

3. The amount of Rs.1.113 Cr in e- anumathi was sanctioned for the work named -"High court of Kerala-providing truss work above the Hon'ble Chief Justice's Court Hall at the 4th floor of the new High Court building" under the H/A 4059-01-051-98-00-16-0-P-V.

(By order of the Governor)
BISHWANATH SINHA
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY

To:

The Registrar, High Court of Kerala, Ernakulam (With C/L) The Principal Accountant General (A & E/Audit), Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram

The Finance (Expenditure D) Department [vide remarks No. 2671355/Exp-D2/10/24-Fin, dated 15-03-2024]

Web & New Media, I & PR Department (for uploading in Government Website)
The Stock file/Office Copy(E 2592279)

Forwarded /By order

Signed by Sheena S

Date: 03-05-2024 15:34:08 Section Officer



GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

Home Department- High Court Establishment-Repair and maintenance of three storeyed building at the old High Court compound- Administrative Sanction accorded -Orders issued- reg

HOME (C) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(Rt)No.3453/2024/HOME

Dated, Thiruvananthapuram, 20-11-

2024

Read Letter No J(A)-76640/2017 Vol. III dated 30.08.2024 from Registrar (Administration), High Court of Kerala.

ORDER

The Registrar (Administration), High Court of Kerala, as per the letter read above, informed that in the wake of the proposal to relocate the Sections working in the Ram Mohan Palace, the Honourable Judges' Committee for the Renovation of the Od High Court Building has been decided to repair and renovate the three storeyed building (old AG's Office) in the old High Court compound for the use of the High Court. In view of above, the Registrar (Administration), has requested to issue orders according Administrative Sanction under the Head of Account 4089-01-051-98 for the work of repair and maintenance of three storeyed building in the old High Court compound at an estimated amount of ₹. 287.10 lakhs.

- 2. The Government have examined the matter in detail and are pleased to accord administrative sanction for the work of repair and 'maintenance of three storeyed building at the old High Court compound for an estimated amount of ₹. 287.10 lakhs subject to the following conditions.
- a. No works shall be taken up in the building area (under distress) till the retrofitting of the structure in these portions (958.86m2 area) are

completed. And the guidelines stipulated in the CUSAT study report shall be complied while carrying out the subject work.

- b. The structural stability of the building (considering settlement of the left side of the building at about 20 cm) shall be ensured before issuing Technical Sanction of the work to make the building functional as per the requirements of the client.
- c. The works of the retrofitting works in the distressed area shall be taken up in future if found feasible to utilize the settled area.
- 3. Additional provision of ₹. 287.10 Lakhs has been provided issued under the H/A 4059-01-051-98-00-16-00-P-V in the E-anumathi for the work "Repairs and maintenance of three storeyed building in the old High Court compound".

(By order of the Governor)
BISHWANATH SINHA
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY

To:

The Registrar (Administration) High Court of Kerala, Ernakulam (With C/L)

Accountant General(A&E/Audit) Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram The Secretary, Public Works Department.

Finance Department(Vide Comp. No 2918118 EXP-D2/213/2024-Fin dated 19.11.2024)

Web &New media, I&PRD (for uploading Government website) Stock File/office copy.

Forwarded/By order

Signed by Sreekumar. S

Date: 20-11-2024 16 Section Officer