



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2318/2021**

DHEERAJ ARORA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sudershani Ray, Advocate with
Petitioner in person.

versus

PARINEY KHANNA

.....Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

10.12.2025

CRL.M.A. 24276/2025 (for modification)

1. Application under Section 362 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.PC'*)/Section 403 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.'*) has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner, for modification/clarification of the Order dated 08.07.2025.
2. It is submitted in the said Order, it has been stated that the cost of Rs.20,000/- was imposed by the learned ASJ, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in fact, the cost had been imposed by this Court.
3. The said Order be clarified to state that the cost of Rs.20,000/- had been imposed by this Court and not by the learned ASJ, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.
4. The Application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.



CRL.M.A. 19220/2025 (for waiver of cost)

5. Application under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., 2023/under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for waiver of cost of Rs.20,000/- imposed *vide* Order dated 07.05.2025.

6. It is submitted that the learned counsel for the Petitioner was busy in a matter in Tis Hazari Courts, which was time bound by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, for which reason, she was unable to appear. To compound it further, it is stated that she is a single lady with two children and has multiple difficulties in her life and therefore, the cost be waived.

7. Unfortunate, there is a culture of adjournments, which has developed over a period of time in the Courts and misplaced and expectation has emerged that whatever be the matter, the adjournments shall be granted at the asking. The adjournments have been sought indiscriminately, with no regard to the other Counsel or to the time of the Court.

8. The Counsel is trying to justify her absence by alleging it was a personal difficulty when in fact, it was a professional involvement in another case. It is not the personal difficulty as is being vehemently argued by the learned Counsel. Though, this is most least appreciated and it is hoped that this culture of seeking adjournments would change over a period of time, the cost of Rs.20,000/- is waived.

9. The Application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

DECEMBER 10, 2025/RS