



\$~66

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 6706/2025

AKBAR ALI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Manini Brar, Mr. Divyansh Singh
& Ms. Srishti Agarwal, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for State with
SI Akash Kumar PS Bhajanpura.
Ms. Manini Brar, Mr. Divyansh
Singh, Ms. Srishti Agarwal, Mr. Raj
Kumar, Ms. Muskaan Chawla,
Advocates.
Mr. Varun Prasad, Ms. Gunjan Pathak
Ms. Rashika Kapoor, Vinod Kumar
, Rahul, Kunal Sharma, Advocates for
complainant.
Mohd. Sohail Alam, Advocate along
with R-2.

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL****ORDER**

%

19.09.2025**CRL.M.A. 28236/2025 (Exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 6706/2025

1. This petition has been filed seeking quashing of **FIR No. 202/2019** dated 03rd May 2019 registered at *P.S. Bhajanpura*, under Sections 354/354A/354B/506/509 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code 1860 (**'IPC'**). Chargesheet has also been filed in the said matter.



2. On the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties through the *Memorandum of Understanding* dated 09th September 2025, which is on record of this Court.
3. Both the petitioner nos. 1-2 as also the respondent no.2/*complainant* are present in Court, and duly identified by the I.O and respective counsel.
4. Respondent no.2 states that she does not wish to press charges any further, considering that senior family members have passed away, and the petitioners are her relatives, and the issue arose out of a domestic dispute which has now been now resolved.
5. Petitioners undertake that they shall not indulge in such activities, which may give rise to any occasion for further infraction in near future. They also undertake to deposit an amount of Rs. 5000/- each before the *Delhi Police Welfare Fund* within 2 weeks from today, as also render community service at *Jama Masjid*, for *four days* in a month for at least the next *two months*, for four hours each.
6. Considering the above settlement between the parties and the chances of conviction of the petitioner(s) being remote and bleak, there is no use continuing with proceedings of the present FIR as it would be a misuse of the process of the Court and an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer.
7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Consequently, **FIR No. 202/2019** under Sections 354/354A/354B/506/509 and 34 IPC registered at *P.S Bhajanpura*, and proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the petitioner(s).
8. Parties shall abide by the terms of settlement.
9. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of. Pending applications (if any) are disposed of as infructuous.



10. Order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

ANISH DAYAL, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/RK/tk