IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM **PRESENT** THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE KAUSER EDAPPAGATH

Friday, the 3rd day of May 2024 / 13th Vaisakha, 1946

WP(C) NO. 10644 OF 2024

PETITIONER:

DRIVING SCHOOL OWNERS' SAMITHI, REP BY STATE PRESIDENT JAYASHANKAR.V., REG. NO. TSR/TC/111/2018, STATE COMMITTEE OFFICE RICE BAZAR, THRISSUR -1 REP BY STATE PRESIDENT JAYASHANKAR.V. AGED 52 YEARS, S/O. LATE PARUKUTTY AMMA, RESIDING AT VILAKAPILLI HOUSE, AYYANTHOLE P.O., THRISSUR, PIN - 680003

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001
- 2. THE TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER OF KERALA, TRANSPORT COMMISSIONARATE, SECOND FLOOR TRANS TOWERS, VAZHUTHACAUD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695014 RT
- 3. SECRETARY TO TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001

Writ Petition (Civil) praying inter alia that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed along with the WP(C) the High Court be pleased to stay the operation of Exhibit P2 pending disposal of the above writ petition.

This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support of WP(C) and upon hearing the arguments of SRI.PREMCHAND M. Advocate for the petitioner and of SRO.P.SANTHOSHKUMAR, SPL.GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the respondents, the court passed the following:

DR.KAUSER EDAPPAGATH, J.

ORDER

All these writ petitions have been filed challenging Circular No.4/2024 dated 21/2/2024 issued by the Transport Commissioner of Kerala. As per the Circular, key changes were made and new regulations were introduced for driving test. WP(C) Nos.10798/24, 16737/24 and 16622/24 have been filed by the owners of the driving schools imparting training in driving. WP(C) No. 10615/2024 has been filed by All Kerala Motor Driving School Instructors and Workers Association and WP(C) No. 10644/2024 has been filed by the registered organization representing the motor driving schools in the State. WP(C) No.13187/2024 has been filed by an applicant who applied to obtain a driving licence.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the new Circular would be implemented from 2/5/2024 onwards and they pressed for an interim order to stay the implementation

WP(C) No. 10615/2024 & conn.cases

-:2:-

of the same. Hence, the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Government Pleader were heard on the interim relief sought.

3. The petitioners mainly challenged the Circular as one issued without authority and violates various provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act and Rules. The learned counsel for the petitioners argued before me that the power to frame Rules and prescribing guidelines for conducting driving test and issuance of licence is vested only with the Central Government and the Transport Commissioner has no power or authority to issue any such Circular. Any executive order that is violative or inconsistent with the provisions of the Central Motor Vehicles Act and Rules will not have any legal footing, submitted the counsel. They have further submitted that if the impugned Circular is implemented, it will substantially affect the rights of the driving school operators as well as the aspirants for licence. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in WP(C) No.13187/2024 additionally submitted that the petitioner therein has already obtained a learner's licence and is awaiting for his final driving test. Ext.P2 Circular seeks to apply retrospectively to him and those

WP(C) No. 10615/2024 & conn.cases

-:3:-

applicants who have passed their learner's test before 1/5/2024 while the current format of driving test was in existence to undertake the final driving test in a new format which is impermissible in law.

- 4. The challenge raised by the petitioners regarding the competency of the Transport Commissioner to issue the Circular in question is a larger issue to be decided in the writ petition. The question that arises for consideration now is whether the petitioners have made out a case for staying the implementation of the Circular.
- 5. Chapter II of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 deals with the driving of motor vehicles. Section 9 of the Act deals with the grant of driving licence and the test of competence in driving is conducted as per Rule 15 of the said Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989. Section 12 of the Act provides for the licencing and regulation of schools or establishments for imparting instruction in driving of motor vehicles. The driving training shall be in accordance with the syllabus prescribed in Rule 31 of the Central Motor Vehicles Rules. A perusal of the Circular would show that it is intended to streamline the procedure for the test of competence in driving in

WP(C) No. 10615/2024 & conn.cases

-:4:-

harmony with Rule 15 of the Central Motor Vehicles Rules prescribed for the conduct of the test of competence in driving the motor vehicles. Being the head of the Motor Vehicle Department as prescribed in Rule 405 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 formed under the provisions of Section 213 of the Motor Vehicles Act, the Transport Commissioner is competent to issue instructions which are not in violation of any of the provisions of the Act or Rules. Key changes in the Circular include limiting the number of driving test applicants supervised by a Motor Vehicle Inspector per day to 30. Additionally, vehicles with automatic gear transmission and electric vehicles are prohibited from use in the driving test. Moreover, driving schools are required to use vehicles no older than 15 years equipped with dash board cameras for testing purposes. It appears that the the Circular were instructions in issued considering innovational improvements vehicular mechanism in and engineering, traffic conditions prevailing in the State, increasing number of road accidents in the State and to require imparting instructions in driving as per the syllabus prescribed in Rule 31 of the Central Motor Vehicles Rules.

WP(C) No. 10615/2024 & conn.cases

-:5:-

6. When Circular No.27/2013 was issued by the Transport Commissioner in the year 2013 to introduce basic infrastructure facilities for driving school, it was challenged before this court in several writ petitions. The challenge was made mainly on the ground that it was one issued by the Transport Commissioner without authority since the framing of regulation for driving schools falls within the exclusive premises of the Central Government. It was held by this court in Ext.R2(a) judgment that prescription made in the Circular for a uniform procedure and standard in the matter of licencing of driving schools is perfectly order and falling within the power of the Transport Commissioner. It was found that if the instructions in the Circular do not run counter to the Central Motor Vehicles Rules, then there could be no allegation of lack of power raised against the Transport Commissioner who acts as the head of the Transport Department as prescribed in Rule 405 of Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules framed under Section 213 of the Motor Vehicles Act. A perusal of the impugned Circular prima facie shows instructions therein do not run counter to, but appear to be harmonious with the provisions of the Central Motor Vehicles Rules and issued to

WP(C) No. 10615/2024 & conn.cases

-:6:-

bring standard in driving test in the light of the increasing number of road accidents, considering public safety which is the prime object of the Motor Vehicles Act. So far as the contention regarding the retrospective application of the Circular is concerned, the applicant in WP(C) No.13187/2024 cannot be heard to contend that the Circular will not apply to him in as much as he is yet to appear for the final driving test.

7. For the reasons stated above, I see no reason to grant a stay for the implementation of the Circular. Hence, the interim relief sought for in all the writ petitions is declined.

Post the writ petitions for hearing on 21/5/2024.

सत्यमेव जयते

Sd/-

DR. KAUSER EDAPPAGATH
JUDGE

Rp

VERDICTUM.IN

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 10644/2024

A TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION OF THE

PETITIONER ORGANIZATION

Exhibit P1

TRUE COPY OF THE CIRCULAR NO.4/2024 DATED 21/02/2024 Exhibit P2

ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT

Exhibit R2(a) A true copy of the common judgement dated 05-02-2015 of

this Honorable Court in the referred Writ Petitions.

