



CWP-PIL-335-2025

1

146

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-PIL-335-2025

Date of decision: 17.11.2025

SANYUKT AHIR REGIMENT MORCHA AND OTHERS

....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
Ms. Poorvi Sharma, Advocate
Ms. Ishita Negi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
Mr. Jay K. Bhardwaj, Advocate
Ms. Priya Darshani Arora, Advocate
Ms. Anmol Gupta, Advocate
Ms. Tanya Singh, Advocate
Mr. Akshay Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Senior Advocate, with
Ms. Gurneet Sagoo, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3-UOI.

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed in the shape of Public Interest Litigation raising alleged public cause that a film named '120 BAHADUR' ought to be named as '120 VEER AHIR'.

1.1 It is also informed that the said film is to be released on 21.11.2025, despite the petitioners having preferred a revision u/s 6 of Cinematograph Act, 1952 on 10.11.2025 (Annexure P-7), preferred before the Central Government on 13.11.2025, which is still under consideration.



CWP-PIL-335-2025

2

2. Counsel for respondents No.1 to 3-UOI has assured that the Central Government would decide the said revision (Annexure P-7) within two (02) days.

2.1 The reply by way of affidavit filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3/UOI is taken on record.

3. In view of the aforesaid assurance, present petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to revisit the Court, in case they have any further grievance.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

17.11.2025*mohit goyal*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No