

## VERDICTUM.IN

**Court No. - 34**

**Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 12234 of 2022

**Petitioner :-** Sudha Sharma And Another

**Respondent :-** Union Of India And 3 Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** Avadh Raj Sharma

**Counsel for Respondent :-** A.S.G.I., Pramod Kumar Singh

**Hon'ble Pankaj Bhatia, J.**

Contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that respondent no.4 got the appointment on compassionate grounds on account of death of the son of the petitioners.

It is stated that while getting appointment, respondent no.4 had given the undertaking to take care of the petitioners who are old, however, she has refused to do so.

Considering the allegations levelled in the writ petition and the fact that compassionate appointment is for the benefit of the legal heirs, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach respondent no.3 by filing an application who shall pass requisite orders considering the hardship of the petitioners and would be entitled to recall the compassionate appointment, if the situation so arises.

In case the application is filed, respondent no.3 shall pass necessary order in accordance with law within a period of three months.

The writ petition is accordingly ***disposed off.***

**Order Date :-** 10.11.2022

Nishant