



BAIL APPL. NO. 14628 OF 2025

1

2025:KER:98913

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE JOBIN SEBASTIAN

TUESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2025 / 9TH POUSHA, 1947

BAIL APPL. NO. 14628 OF 2025

CRIME NO.1018/2025 OF Mathilakom Police Station, Thrissur

PETITIONER/ACCUSED:

AMAL

AGED 19 YEARS

S/O. PRADEEP, CHETTIPARAMBIL HOUSE, SHANKU BAZAR DESOM, P.O
P.VEMBALLUR, PIN , THRISSUR DISTRICT, KERALA, PIN - 680671

BY ADVS.

SHRI.AZEEM SALIH

SRI.B.VINOD

SHRI.HAMDAN MANSOOR K.

RESPONDENTS/STATE AND DE FACTO COMPLAINANT:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH
COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM, TTHROUGH STATION HOUSE OFFICER
MATHILAKAM POLICE STATION, P.O MATHILAKAM, THRISSUR
DISTRICT, PIN - 682031
- 2 XXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX

OTHER PRESENT:

ADV SANGEETHRAJ, PP

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30.12.2025,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**JOBIN SEBASTIAN , J**

=====

B.A. No.14628 of 2025

=====

Dated 30th day of December 2025ORDER

This application for regular bail has been filed by the sole accused in Crime No. 1018 of 2025 of the Mathilakom Police Station, Thrissur District.

2. The prosecution allegation is that the accused, with sexual intent, during the period from the year 2023 till 08.07.2025, stalked the survivor girl through social media platforms and also near MES School, Vemballur. It is alleged that the accused demanded the survivor to send her nude photographs to him through WhatsApp and obtained the same. It is further alleged that the accused published the said photographs through social media and thereby sexually harassed the



survivor. Hence, the offences alleged are under Sections 12 read with 11(ii) and 11(iv) of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012; Section 78 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Sections 66(E) and 67(B) of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is totally innocent of the allegations levelled against him. According to the learned counsel, the petitioner was a teenager at the time of the alleged commission of the offence and, considering his young age, some leniency has to be shown in the matter of bail. It is further submitted that, in fact, the petitioner was in love with the victim girl and that, after the breakup of the said relationship, the present case was falsely foisted at the instigation of the parents of the victim girl.



4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail application, highlighting the serious nature of the offences alleged.

5. A perusal of the available case records reveals that the accusation against the petitioner is prima facie well-founded. The allegation that the petitioner transmitted nude videos of the victim girl through social media, unmindful of the future of the victim girl and the social stigma attached thereto, cannot be viewed lightly.

6. However, as rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner was a teenager at the time of the alleged commission of the offence. No criminal antecedents have been pointed out against him. The petitioner was arrested in connection with this case on 15.11.2025 and has been under judicial custody since then. The electronic gadgets allegedly used for the commission of the offence have



already been recovered. The investigation in the case has reached a major and crucial stage and is on the verge of completion. As the investigation has substantially progressed, further judicial incarceration of the petitioner, who was a teenager at the relevant time, is unwarranted.

7. Considering all the aforesaid aspects, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

1. Petitioner shall execute a bond for Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh only) with two solvent sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional Court.
2. The petitioner shall not contact the victim girl, either directly or indirectly, or through any electronic or social media platforms.
3. The petitioner shall appear before the Investigating



Officer on every Monday between 10 a.m. and 11 a.m.
for a period of three months or until the final report is
filed, whichever occurs first.

4. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation
and shall not, directly or indirectly, make any
inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted
with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from
disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
5. Petitioner shall not leave India without permission of the
jurisdictional Court.
6. Petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
7. If the petitioner violates any of the above conditions, the
investigating officer is at liberty to file an appropriate
application for cancellation of bail before the



BAIL APPL. NO. 14628 OF 2025

7

2025:KER:98913

jurisdictional court and if such an application is filed the
jurisdictional court can pass appropriate orders
irrespective of the fact that this order is passed by this
Court.

Sd/-
JOBIN SEBASTIAN

JUDGE

RMV



BAIL APPL. NO. 14628 OF 2025

2025:KER:98913

APPENDIX OF BAIL APPL. NO. 14628 OF 2025

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1	A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER CRL MP 7457/2025 BEFORE THE HON'BLE 1ST ADDITIONAL SESSIONS COURT, THRISSUR, DATED 15/11/2025
Annexure A2	5. COPY OF THE FIR IN 1018/2025 OF MATHILAKAM POLICE, THRISSUR DATED 15/11/2025 ALONG WITH THE FIS