VERDICTUM.IN

ITEM NO.51 COURT NO.7 SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No.68795/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-09-2026 in CRLOP No.26377/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

EXPRESS INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED Petitioner

VERSUS

AUREA AVIATION PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS. Respondents

I.A. No.341598/2026-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT
I.A. No.341595/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ ANNEXURES
I.A. No.341599/ 2026-PERMISSION TO FILE SLP WITHOUT CERTIFIED/PLAIN COPY OF IMPUGNED ORDER

Date : 06-11-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Siddhartha Dave, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Priyanka Shetty, Adv.
Mr. Ayush Chaddha, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Jain, Adv.
Mr. Pranay Shridhar Chitale- 3838, AOR
Mr. Samyak Jain, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Dutta, Adv.
Ms. Arundhuti Mukherjee, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Permission to file the special leave petition without the certified/website copy of the impugned judgment and order dated 21st September, 2026[1] is allowed.

[1] impugned judgment

VERDICTUM.IN

2. In compliance with the order passed by this Court yesterday, the Registrar General of the High Court of Madras has furnished to this Court the uploaded copy of the impugned judgment.

3. It appears that the impugned judgment and order was uploaded only yesterday, around 16.40 hours. It requires no special intelligence to infer that but for the intervention of this Court, the impugned judgment would not have been uploaded yesterday at all.

4. Be that as it may, having perused the impugned judgment, this Court finds no reason to interfere therewith; hence, the special leave petition is dismissed.

5. Petitioner is, however, left free to pursue the channel of civil litigation or other remedy in accordance with law. Any proceeding instituted by the petitioner may be considered on its own merits without being influenced by any observation made in the impugned judgment or dismissal of this special leave petition.

6. Connected application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

7. Before parting, this Court would remind Hon'ble Judges to consider a mechanism for timely uploading of judgments/orders notwithstanding the heavy burden of judicial work that each Hon'ble Judge of a high court has to bear. After all, the right to a reasoned order is illusory if the judgment/order itself is not made available to the litigant who might seek to challenge it before a higher court. The delay in uploading, though unintentional, could cause serious prejudice to him/it. That apart, omission/neglect to upload any judgment or order within a reasonable period and not uploading it for more than six weeks, as in this case, raises unnecessary doubts and could lead to unforeseen

VERDICTUM.IN

complications as well as undesirable criticism which should best be avoided by ensuring timely availability thereof. This Court trusts that this shall not recur and that judgments/orders shall be made available to the litigants promptly.

(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)
ASST. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)