



2026:KER:13005

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISANKAR V. MENON

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2026 / 22ND MAGHA, 1947

WP(C) NO. 2710 OF 2023

PETITIONER:

1 MINI SUNNY,
 AGED 48 YEARS
 W/O. SUNNY THOMAS, PUTHENVEETIL HOUSE, KIDANGOOR P.O.,
 THURAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT - 683581, NOW RESIDING AT
 KALLOOKKAARAN HOUSE, AANAPPARA P.O., VIA MANJAPRA,
 ALUVA TALUK PIN 683581

BY ADVS.
SHRI.ABRAHAM P.GEORGE
SMT.M.SANTHY

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 THURAVOOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
 THURAVOOR, THURAVOOR P.O., ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN -
 683572
- 2 SECRETARY,
 THURAVOOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT, THURAVOOR, THURAVOOR P.O.,
 ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 683572
- 3 THE KERALA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
 DISTRICT OFFICE - I, ERNAKULAM, GANDHI NAGAR, KOCHI,
 PIN - 682020
- 4 THE ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER,
 KERALA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, DISTRICT OFFICE -
 I, ERNAKULAM, GANDHI NAGAR, KOCHI, PIN - 682020
- 5 JOSE PARAVOOKKARAN ALIAS JOSE VARGHESE P.,



2026:KER:13005

PARAVOOKKARAN HOUSE, KIDANGOOR P.O., ANGAMALY,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 683572

- 6 ADDL.R6. K. J MATHEW,
AGED 78 YEARS, S/O.LATE JOSEPH, KACHAPPILLY HOUSE,
KIDANGOOR P.O., THURAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT (ADDL.R6
IMPLEADED VIDE ORDER DATED 12-12-2025 IN IA 1/2023 IN
WPC 2710/2023)
- 7 ADDL.R7. MARTIN,
ADDL.R7. S/O.PAPPACHAN, PANIKULAM HOUSE, KIDANGOOR
P.O., THURAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-683572 (ADDL.R7
IMPLEADED VIDE ORDER DATED 12-12-2025 IN IA 2/2023 IN
WPC 2710/2023)

BY ADVS.

SHRI.WILSON URMESE, SC, THURAVOOR GRAMA
PANCHAYAT,ERNAKULAM
SRI.DINESH MATHEW J.MURICKEN
SRI.M.B.RATHEESH
SHRI.K.A.ABHILASH
SRI.VINOD S. PILLAI
SHRI.MOHAMMED THAYIB N.M.
SMT.NAYANA VARGHESE
SHRI.AHAMMAD SACHIN K.
SRI.JOHNY THOMAS
SMT.ELSA JACOB
SHRI.AKASH PHILIP
SMT.SANDRA K. SANJAY
SHRI. T. NAVEEN - SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
11.02.2026, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



2026:KER:13005

'C.R.'**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner is stated to be a resident of Ward No.9 of Thuravoor Grama Panchayat at Kidangoor. She is stated to be a cancer patient continuing with the treatment. The petitioner complains that the 5th respondent herein, who is none other than her immediate neighbour is operating a 'smoke house' in the nearby property having an extent of hardly 20 cents for the purpose of drying latex obtained from the 5th respondent's rubber plantation at Illithode near Malayattoor. According to the petitioner, the 5th respondent is operating the smoke house without obtaining the relevant licence from the 1st respondent Panchayat. It is also submitted that the 5th respondent is also using 'sulphur' in the smoke house for the activities of drying rubber sheets and this is causing pollution in the form of dust and smoke. Therefore, the petitioner has filed the captioned writ petition seeking for a direction to the 1st and 2nd respondents to take appropriate steps for stopping the illegal functioning of the smoke house run by the 5th respondent.

2. I have heard Sri.Abraham P. George, the learned



2026:KER:13005

counsel for the petitioner, Sri.Wilson Urumese, the learned Standing Counsel for respondents 1 and 2, Sri. T.Naveen, the learned Standing Counsel for respondents 3 and 4 as well as Smt.Nayana Varghese for the 5th respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would invite the attention of this Court to the Kerala Panchayat Raj (Issue of Licence to Factories, Trades, Entrepreneurship Activities and other Services) Rules, 1996 (for short, '1996 Rules'), more particularly the requirement with reference to obtaining a licence with reference to the contents of Schedule - I, Entry 134 to point out that insofar as rubber and rubber products are specifically listed thereunder, the 5th respondent ought to have obtained licence from the Panchayat. He would also point out that as contended in the writ petition the use of sulphur is creating pollution.

4. Sri.Wilson Urumese, the learned Standing Counsel for the Panchayath, would however point out that with reference to the provisions of the 1996 Rules, the 5th respondent does not require to obtain any licence thereunder.

5. Sri.T.Naveen, the learned Standing Counsel for the Kerala State Pollution Control Board would point out that Ext.P5 has already been issued to the 5th respondent herein with



2026:KER:13005

reference to certain compliances to be carried out by him and if the 5th respondent is still operating the smoke house without complying with the afore, appropriate steps require to be taken.

6. I have considered the rival submissions as well as the connected records.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner, apart from, relying on the provisions of the 1996 Rules, also relied on the judgment of this Court W.P.(C) No.33072 of 2006 dated 27.08.2008. True, with reference to an almost similar situation where a smoke house was run by a rubber dealer, this Court found that a licence from the Panchayat requires to be obtained. But, in my opinion, the issue requires to be addressed with reference to the provisions of the 1996 Rules. The provisions of Rule 3 of the 1996 Rules states that for the purpose of Section 232, what all activities comes under the factories, trades and entrepreneurship activities etc., as laid down in the Schedule - I. The Schedule - I under Entry 134 provides as under:

“134. Rubber, rubber products – Manufacture, Storage, Sale.”

8. A reading of Entry 134 would show that the rubber as well as rubber products are specifically covered thereunder.



2026:KER:13005

However, the petitioner has only a contention that the 5th respondent is using the smoke house for drying latex. The latex is nothing but rubber. The rubber with reference to Entry 134 referred above requires to have been "stored or sold", so as to attract the rigour of Entry 134, to Schedule - I, of the 1996 Rules. As already noticed, the allegation in the writ petition is to the effect that the 5th respondent is drying rubber sheets. This, in my opinion, would not be covered with reference to contents of Entry 134 of Schedule - I of the 1996 Rules.

9. However, Sri.Abraham P. George, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that the activity carried on by the 5th respondent amounts to 'manufacture', and therefore, the rigour thereunder is attracted. In my opinion, the above submission cannot be accepted since the mere drying of rubber sheets does not amount any manufacture at all. For a particular item to be said to have been manufactured, a new and different commodity should emerge out of the activity. Here, what is dried and what is taken out of the smoke house is one and the same - rubber sheets. Therefore, the provisions of the 1996 Rules would not get attracted to the facts of the afore case. The judgment relied on by the learned counsel referred to above has not addressed the issue with



2026:KER:13005

respect to the applicability of the provisions of the 1996 Rules. Therefore, the above judgment, in my opinion, would be of no assistance to the petitioner herein.

10. Though the learned counsel for the 5th respondent sought to rely on the Government Order dated 21.01.2020 to contend that there is no requirement for any licence on account of the contents of the afore Government Order, in my opinion, the afore issue does not require to be considered, in view of the interpretation to the provisions of the 1996 Rules, as above. Therefore, I am of the opinion that the petitioner is not justified in contending that the 5th respondent should obtain a licence from the Panchayat. As already noticed, even the Panchayat does not have a case that the 5th respondent requires to obtain a licence.

11. Even on the face of the afore finding, I find much force in the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that sulphur is being used and if there is such use, that requires to be interdicted. This Court notices that in Ext.P5 the Pollution Control Board had already interdicted the use of sulphur in the smoke house operated by the 5th respondent herein. The counter affidavit filed by the 5th respondent also states that sulphur is not being used by him.



2026:KER:13005

12. In such circumstances, while dismissing this writ petition, I direct the Pollution Control Board Officials to periodically inspect the smoke house of the 5th respondent to see that the 5th respondent is not using any sulphur in his smoke house.

Accordingly, this writ petition would stand dismissed.

Sd/-

HARISANKAR V. MENON

JUDGE

AP



2026:KER:13005

APPENDIX OF WP(C) NO. 2710 OF 2023**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:**

Exhibit P1 THE MEDICAL CERTIFICATE DATED 01.11.2022
ISSUED BY CONSULTANT PHYSICIAN, M.A.G
HOSPITAL, MOOKKANNUR

Exhibit P2 THE MEDICAL CERTIFICATE GIVEN BY DR. RAJESH.
V OF RAJAGIRI HOSPITAL DATED 24.09.2022

Exhibit P3 THE TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE COMPLAINT LODGED BY
THE PETITIONER DATED 20.08.2022 BEFORE THE
DISTRICT COLLECTOR

Exhibit P4 THE TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
RECEIPT NO. M2-551726/2022/TDCEKM DATED
20.08.2022 ISSUED FROM THE COLLECTORATE,
ERNAKULAM ON RECEIPT OF EXHIBIT P3

Exhibit P5 THE TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE DIRECTIONS ISSUED BY
THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO THE 5TH RESPONDENT -
PCB/EKM/DO-1/GENERAL-51/21 DATED 21.10.2022

Exhibit P6 THE TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE REPRESENTATION
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER SUBMITTED ON
30.11.2022 BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT

Exhibit P7 THE TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE PROOF OF DELIVERY
CARD SHOWING THE RECEIPT OF THE EXHIBIT P6 BY
THE 3RD RESPONDENT ON 01.12.2022

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:

Exhibit R5(a) TRUE COPY OF THE PROPERTY TAX RECEIPT WITH
RESPECT TO THE PROPERTY COMPRISED IN
SY.NO.152/15 ISSUED FROM MALAYATTOR VILLAGE
OFFICE DATED 18.06.2021

Exhibit R5(b) TRUE COPY OF COMMUNICATION ISSUED BY THE 4TH
RESPONDENT TO THE 5TH RESPONDENT DATED
25.11.2022

Exhibit R5(c) TRUE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE DRYER INSTALLED BY THE
5TH RESPONDENT

Exhibit R5(d) TRUE COPY OF COMMUNICATION ISSUED BY THE 4TH
RESPONDENT TO THE 5TH RESPONDENT DATED
10.02.2023

Annexure R1(a) TRUE COPY OF THE INSPECTION REPORT DATED
05.04.2023 PREPARED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT
SECRETARY, THURAVOOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT

Annexure R1(b) TRUE COPY OF THE SITE INSPECTION REPORT DATED
02.04.2025 PREPARED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT