1

Neutral Citation No. - 2025:AHC:81511

AFR

Reserved

Court No. - 76

(1) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22491 of 2024

Petitioner: - Shanidev And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 7 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Nipun Singh, Ranjeet Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(2) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 13119 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Archana @ Archana Yadav And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others **Counsel for Petitioner :-** Ram Murti Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(3) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 16761 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Muskan And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Binod Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(4) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 18218 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Anjali And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Jata Shankar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(5) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 20558 of 2024

Petitioner :- Sumita Gupta And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Yash Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(6) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21507 of 2024

Petitioner: - Anjali Yadav And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Brijesh Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(7) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21521 of 2024

Petitioner: - Karishma Kumari And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Rahul Yadav, Vinod Kumar

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(8) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21585 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Alka Bhati And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Comparison Petitioners: Alkhieles Konner Should

Counsel for Petitioner: - Abhishek Kumar Shukla, Aklesh Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(9) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22389 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Anjali And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Narendra Kumar

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(10) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22702 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Durga Vati And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 4 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Ajit Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(11) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22748 of 2024 **Petitioner:** - Smt Pooja And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others
Counsel for Petitioner: - Mukesh Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(12) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22770 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Anjani Kumari And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Deepak Kumar Vishwakarma, Puneet

Kumar, Ravindra Sharma

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(13) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22932 of 2024 **Petitioner:** - Smt. Pooja Meena And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Ramakant Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(14) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22952 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Manisha Kumari And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Pankaj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(15) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 23677 of 2024

Petitioner: - Khushi Sunilkumar Srivastava And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Neha Rani, Satyvendra Singh Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(16) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24360 of 2024 Petitioner: - Shyambhvi Gupta And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Raj Narayan

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(17) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24366 of 2024 Petitioner: - Km Madhu Gupta And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 5 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Yadvendra Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(18) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24536 of 2024 Petitioner: - Monalisha Mahato And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Santosh Kumar Mishra, Vinay Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent: - C.S.C.

With

(19) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24576 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Jagriti Singh And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sandeep Kumar Maurya **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(20) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25039 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Priyanka Chaudhary And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 7 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anand Ji Mishra, Kaushal Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

With

(21) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25041 of 2024

Petitioner: - Varsha Singh And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Hridai Narayan Sharma, Vipin Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(22) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25046 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Priyanka And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shree Rung Pandey, Usha Joshi **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(23) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25103 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Manjali Devi And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others **Counsel for Petitioner :-** Ram Bilas Prasad

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, Awadhesh

Tiwari, C.S.C.

With

(24) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25198 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Sameena And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Lavkush Kumar Bhatt

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

With

(25) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25357 of 2024

Petitioner :- Bimla Devi And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Chandra Prakash Kushwaha Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(26) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25361 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Manu Kumari And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Lal Bahadur

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(27) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25417 of 2024 **Petitioner:** - Smt. Priya Singh And Another **Respondent:** - State Of U.P. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Kuldeep Singh, Rajesh Prasad **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(28) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25729 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Himanshi And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Shiva Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(29) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25820 of 2024

Petitioner: - Rahul Saini And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rizwan Sayed, Ziya Uddin **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(30) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25875 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Ragini Saxena And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Vivek Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(31) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26059 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Neha And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Suresh Kumar Maurya

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(32) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26077 of 2024

Petitioner: Smt Shivani And Another Respondent: State Of U.P. And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: Vipin Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(33) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26295 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Shivani Kesharwani And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Vinod Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(34) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26338 of 2024

Petitioner :- Naina Mishra And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Kushwaha **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(35) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26373 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Manisha And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Ashish Pandey, Santosh Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(36) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26427 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Pratibha Kumari And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Suneel Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(37) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26505 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Kamini Gupta And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prabhat Kumar Chaturvedi, Varun Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(38) Case :- WRIT - C No. - 26512 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Roopam And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Jitendra Yadav, Sanjay Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(39) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26515 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Divya And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(40) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26519 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Sonam And Another **Respondent:** - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Pankaj Sharma, Prashant Sharma

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Ranjeet Kumar

Mishra

With

(41) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26621 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Rubi Devi And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjive Kumar Gupta

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(42) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26627 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Goldi And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 4 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Amir Khan

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(43) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26651 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Juli Kumari And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 4 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Sukesh Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(44) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27020 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Nishi And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner :- Rakesh Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(45) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27205 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Nandini And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mohammad Faisal Khan **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(46) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27261 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Radha And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sudhanshu Kumar Singh **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(47) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27270 of 2024 **Petitioner:** - Smt Ruby Saini And Another **Respondent:** - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sudhanshu Kumar Singh **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(48) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27284 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Krishna Kumari And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Manvendra Singh,Rooma Singh **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra,C.S.C.

With

(49) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27350 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Roshani And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Ravi Prakash Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(50) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27562 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Vanshika Giri And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others **Counsel for Petitioner :-** Mohd. Naushad

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(51) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27712 of 2024

Petitioner: - Laxmi And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Mukesh Kumar Pandey, Praveen Kumar

Sharma

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(52) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27719 of 2024 **Petitioner: -** Smt Pooja Devi And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

9

Counsel for Petitioner: - Dinesh Singh Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(53) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27767 of 2024

Petitioner: - Rashmi And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Purnima

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Mirza Faheem

Beg

With

(54) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27784 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Rani And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 8 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Shesh Nath Singh Yadav, Tej Bahadur Singh

Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(55) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27841 of 2024

Petitioner: Smt. Renu And Another Respondent: State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Singh,Km Astha **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra,C.S.C.

With

(56) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27870 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt. Ruchi And Another **Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Kripa Shanker Yadav, Manish Dev

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(57) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27904 of 2024

Petitioner: Smt Komal Vishwakarma And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Anoop Kumar Sharma, Santosh

Prajapati, Vikas Rastogi

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

10

With

(58) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27916 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Uma Gautam And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Mohammad Waseem

Counsel for Respondent: Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Sharad Saran

Srivastava

With

(59) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 27938 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Priya And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Shikhar Tandon

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(60) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28076 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Sapana Singh And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Council for Petitioner: - Prijosh Kumar Mich

Counsel for Petitioner: - Brijesh Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Sujit Kumar

Agrawal

With

(61) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28116 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Anuradha And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Pranshu Dwivedi, Rakesh Kumar Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(62) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28212 of 2024

Petitioner: - Rubi And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 7 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sayeed Saif Ullah, Sufia Saba **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(63) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28247 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Shivani And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Mahendra Kumar Maurya

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Mahesh Kumar

Kuntal

With

(64) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28252 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Manisha Gupta And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Satyendra Kumar Yadav **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(65) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28258 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Nandani And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Sonu Kumar Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(66) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28390 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Sangeeta And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Prakash Srivastava **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(67) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28410 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Rachana Devi And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Rajesh Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Vinod Kumar

Yadav

With

(68) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28422 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Neeshu And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Akhilesh Kumar Pandey **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(69) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28435 of 2024

Petitioner: - Ashish Kumar And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Vishnu Kant Ojha

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(70) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28464 of 2024 **Petitioner: -** Smt Km Parvesh And Another **Respondent: -** State Of Up And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Amit Kumar, Vijit Saxena

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

With

(71) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28476 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Chandani Verma And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Digvijay Singh, Sudhir Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(72) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 28614 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Shamim And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 6 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Kuldeep Kumar Counsel for Respondent: - C.S.C.

With

(73) Case: WRIT - C No. - 28704 of 2024

Petitioner: Khushi Bhati And Another

Respondent: State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: Vikash Chandra Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent: - C.S.C.

With

(74) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29057 of 2024 **Petitioner:** - Smt. Priti Singh And Another **Respondent:** - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shashank Kumar, Shiv Murti Singh **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(75) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29064 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Nirajla And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Raj Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(76) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29120 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Shalu And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ajay Kumar Yadav,Bijay Kumar **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra,C.S.C.

With

(77) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29521 of 2024

Petitioner: Smt Suneeta And Another Respondent: State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: Ashish Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(78) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29602 of 2024 **Petitioner:** - Smt Supriya Yadav And Another **Respondent:** - State Of Up And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Sakshi Jaiswal, Vimal Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(79) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29936 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Aarti And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Vijai Shanker Tripathi, Vinod Shankar

Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(80) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29970 of 2024

Petitioner: - Sejal And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Amit Kumar Pandey, Shashank Ojha

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(81) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 30052 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Antima Kumari And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Naseem Ahmad, Syeda Alia Khatoon

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(82) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 30128 of 2024

Petitioner :- Deepak Kumar And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Azad Khan, Virpratap Singh **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(83) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 30219 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Nidhi And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner :- Kamta Prasad

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(84) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 30220 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Anshu And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dharmendra Kumar Patel **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(85) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 30288 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt. Yatan Mishra And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Atul Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(86) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 30547 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Gunja Gupta And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjeev Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(87) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 30618 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Monika And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ajay Pandey, Shivam Pandey **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(88) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 31081 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt. Roli And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Chandra Prakash Pandey **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(89) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 31370 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Pooja And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Abhinav Singh, Ajeet Dixit **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(90) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 31382 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Meeta Rajbhar And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Amit Kumar Tripathi, Anil Kumar Gupta

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(91) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 31855 of 2024

Petitioner: - Swadesh Kumar And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Shashi Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(92) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 33068 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Lajwanti And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Lavkush Kumar Bhatt

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Dhirendra

Kumar Verma

With

(93) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 11549 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Aarti And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dinesh Kumar Mishra **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(94) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 12537 of 2024 **Petitioner: -** Smt Priyanka Gupta And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Anita Mishra, Swadesh Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(95) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 16009 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Kajal And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Pawan Kumar Rao

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(96) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 17942 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Neeru Thakur And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Amit Rana, Rajesh Verma, Saurabh Kumar

Pandey

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(97) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 18549 of 2024

Petitioner :- Laxmi Saini And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Aakash Sharma, Mahendra Kumar

Yadav, Vinod Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(98) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 19491 of 2024

Petitioner :- Anjali Verma And Another **Respondent :-** State Of .Up. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Mohd. Kamar Shah Alam

Counsel for Respondent: - C.S.C.

With

(99) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 20994 of 2024

Petitioner :- Manish Sharma And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Manish Kumar, Rishi Kumar **Counsel for Respondent :-** Abhilasha Singh, Ashutosh

Yadav, C.S.C., Shyam Lal

With

(100) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21496 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Mansi And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ajay Pal, Saral Singh, Satyendra Kumar Singh **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(101) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21526 of 2024

Petitioner: - Neelam And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others **Counsel for Petitioner :-** Pawan Kumar Patel

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(102) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21775 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Anshika And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Anil Kumar Bind

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(103) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21925 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt. Laxmi And Another **Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Arvind Kumar Verma

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, Ashish Goyal, C.S.C.

With

(104) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 21981 of 2024 Petitioner: - Shivanshi Singh And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 4 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Vivek Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(105) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22559 of 2024

Petitioner: - Mohit Kumar And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Diwan Saifullah Khan

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(106) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 22634 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt. Rashmi And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Akhilendra Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, Ajay Kumar

Dwivedi, C.S.C.

With

(107) **Case :-** WRIT - C No. - 23002 of 2024 **Petitioner :-** Smt Sayma Khatoon And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shyam Shanker Pandey

Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C., Manoj Singh

With

(108) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 23387 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Vibhooshita Pandey And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Dinesh Kumar Maurya, Ram Raj Prajapati

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(109) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 23391 of 2024

Petitioner: Smt Akanksha Singh And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Ashok Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(110) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 23398 of 2024

Petitioner: - Sarvesh And Another

Respondent: State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Rajesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(111) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 23539 of 2024

Petitioner :- Disha And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Gaurav Kumar Singh, Gaya Prasad Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(112) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 23546 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Akanksha And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mool Chandra Maurya

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(113) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 23666 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Radhika And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ramesh Chandra Pal

Counsel for Respondent: - C.S.C.

With

(114) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24184 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Shivani Singh And Another Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Rabindra Bahadur Singh Counsel for Respondent: - Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(115) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24289 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Vimla And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Lavkush Kumar Bhatt **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(116) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24353 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Priti And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mohd. Kamar Shah Alam **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(117) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24896 of 2024

Petitioner: - Anshu And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Roopesh Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(118) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 24996 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Lalta And Another

Respondent: - State Of U.P. And 4 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Sohan Lal Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(119) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25034 of 2024

Petitioner: - Mansi And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Amar Nath, Amit Pandey, Vijay Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

With

(120) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 25376 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Payal And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rajendra Kumar Srivastava **Counsel for Respondent :-** Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(121) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26080 of 2024 Petitioner: - Smt Jyoti Tyagi And Another Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others Counsel for Petitioner: - Ashutosh Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(122) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 26096 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt Jaya And Another **Respondent :-** State Of Up And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Rakesh Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(123) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 29987 of 2024 **Petitioner:** - Simran Kumari And Another **Respondent:** - State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Amit Pandey, Vijay Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

With

(124) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 32005 of 2024

Petitioner: - Vivek And Another

Respondent :- State Of Up And 3 Others **Counsel for Petitioner :-** Sanjay Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

With

(125) Case: - WRIT - C No. - 36847 of 2024

Petitioner: - Smt Sheetal Dixit And Another

Respondent: - State Of Up And 3 Others

Coursel for Petitioner: - Ashish Kuman Cunt

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ashish Kumar Gupta

Counsel for Respondent :- Ram Vishal Mishra, C.S.C.

Hon'ble Vinod Diwakar, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Shri Ashwani Kumar Tripathi, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State-respondents, and perused the record.

- 2. Since the issues involved in the present writ petition are similar to those raised in 124 connected petitions, all the petitions are being heard and decided together by a common order.
- 3. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Etawah, to take appropriate steps for ensuring their safety and protection. The petitioners are stated to be residents of Village Niloi, Police Station Jaswant Nagar, District Etawah, and claim to have solemnized their marriage on 03.06.2024 at Arya Samaj Mandir, Greater Noida, which has been affiliated with Arya Samaj Pratinidhi Sabha, 5 Meerabai Bagh, Lucknow.
- 4. It has been submitted by the petitioners that they have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of their respective families, due to which they apprehend threats to their lives. In this regard, a complaint was submitted to the S.S.P. Etawah on 08.07.2024, requesting police protection. The said complaint was sent through registered post from the Post Office located within the High Court premises. The petitioners have also annexed photocopies of their Aadhar Cards to substantiate their claim that both individuals were of marriageable age at the time of the marriage.
- 5. Learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel has raised serious doubts regarding the genuineness of the marriage certificate annexed as *Annexure-3*, alleging it to be a forged document. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the certificate, purportedly issued by Arya Samaj Mandir, Greater Noida, which lacks essential details such as the name of the priest- who has solemnized the marriage, the registered address of the Arya Samaj Mandir, names, addresses, and contact details of the witnesses. These omissions raise significant concerns as to whether the marriage was performed in accordance

with the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. It has been submitted that the petitioners have applied for registration of marriage before the Marriage Registration Officer, Ghaziabad.

- 5.1 He further submitted that, since the petitioners sent their complaint to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Etawah, through registered post from the Post Office located within the High Court premises, it is evident that they did not appear in person before the local police authorities. On this ground, it is contended that the writ petition is not maintainable at the threshold and is liable to be dismissed in *limine*.
- 6. This is not the first instance where the learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel has raised such serious objections, questioning both the maintainability and the merits of the petition. It has been consistently argued that several such petitions have been filed with fabricated marriage certificates and forged Aadhar Cards- a prerequisite condition to file a petition before this court for the purpose of photo identification.
- 7. This Court has observed that in many such cases, the marriage certificates are issued by societies purportedly located in (a) Ghaziabad, (b) Gautam Buddha Nagar, and (c) Prayagraj. Upon verification, it has been revealed that in several instances, the societies in question do not exist, and fake certificates have been issued to obtain a protection order from the High Court. It has also come to light that the individuals named as witnesses were fictitious, their including Aadhar Cards, were forged, institutions/organizations issuing such certificates lacked any legal entitlement under their bye-laws. No actual marriage ceremony had taken place in numerous cases.

- 8. Further, a pattern has emerged where, for instance, petitioners who are presently residing at Ahmedabad claim to have solemnized marriage in Maharashtra in a temple, yet register the marriage in Ghaziabad, and thereafter approach this Court seeking protection from the parents who are residing in Jaunpur, U.P. The documents annexed in support of such petitions, especially proof of age and marriage, are often found to be forged. Many female petitioners have been found to be minors, ranging from 14 to 16 years of age. In several cases, it has also come to notice that the male petitioners entered into these alliances without disclosing previous marriages, relying instead on fake certificates and morphed photographs. Instead of approaching the concerned S.S.P. directly, the petitioners merely dispatched a request letter from the post office at Prayagraj and immediately filed petitions invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Court.
- 9. Due to the high volume of such petitions, a separate category, titled "Writ-C (Couple Protection)," has been introduced in the roster of this Court. While the Court acknowledges that some petitions involve genuine litigants who truly require judicial protection and intervention, it is equally apparent that such cases are relatively few compared to the large number of petitions based on fabricated documents and false claims filed by ignorant litigants with the intervention of touts.
- 10. Given the seriousness of the matter and the increasing trend of filing fraudulent petitions in the guise of seeking protection, this Court has directed the Senior Superintendent of Police concerned- in whose jurisdiction the parents of the petitioners are stated to reside- to carry out a detailed verification on the following four counts:
- (i) A para-wise response to the contentions raised in the writ petition;

- (ii) Verification of the Aadhar Card, PAN Card, and educational certificates of the petitioners;
- (iii) Confirmation as to whether the marriage was solemnized in accordance with the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, and whether both petitioners were of legal age at the time of marriage;
- (iv) Verification of the marriage certificate from the priest (Purohit) who allegedly conducted the ceremony, and from the issuing authority, such as the President/Secretary of the society.
- 11. Following receipt of the police verification reports, it has been found that in almost 25% of the cases, the petitioners ceased to appear before the Court. In several other cases, their counsels began seeking adjournments rather than arguing the matter on merits.
- 12. Investigations unveiled that many purported marriage certificates were forged, issued by unregistered or fictitious Arya Samaj trusts and societies. These entities operated in violation of the Hindu Marriage Act and the Child Marriage Restraint Act, frequently solemnizing marriages involving underage couples. The courts noted the fabrication of key documents such as Aadhaar cards, PAN cards, affidavits, and birth certificates, creating a systemic problem that not only threatened the sanctity of marriage but also facilitated human trafficking, sexual exploitation, and other social evils.
- 13. Undoubtedly, every citizen, upon attaining the age of majority, has the fundamental right to choose a life partner and enter into a matrimonial alliance or live-in relationship. However, this right cannot be exercised by circumventing statutory provisions or through the submission of forged and fabricated documents to the Court, seeking protection under the guise of Article 21 of the Constitution of India. It is the collective responsibility of the State and its

instrumentalities to ensure strict compliance with the law of the land and safeguard the integrity and sanctity of the institution of marriage.

- 14. In light of the foregoing deliberations, this Court directed the Assistant Inspector Generals (AIGs) of Stamp and Registration, Ghaziabad and Gautam Buddha Nagar, to appear in person before this Court. They were further directed to produce the Marriage Registration Rules, relevant Notifications, Government Orders, or any other material governing the procedure for the solemnization and registration of marriages. Additionally, they shall furnish details of all marriages registered within their respective territorial jurisdictions.
- 14.1 A direction was also issued to the District Magistrate, Prayagraj, to constitute a special team comprising the following members: (i) a police officer not below the rank of Assistant Commissioner of Police (ACP), who is familiar with the facts and orders passed by this Court, (ii) the Assistant Registrar, Societies and Chits, Prayagraj, (iii) the Marriage Registration Officer, Prayagraj, and (iv) any other officer deemed necessary, in consultation with the Commissioner of Police, Prayagraj.
- **14.2** The said team was also directed to visit all societies, whether registered or unregistered, as well as Purohits who are involved in solemnising marriages and issuing marriage certificates in cases involving runaway couples within the territorial jurisdiction of District Prayagraj.
- **14.3** The district Magistrates of Ghaziabad and Gautam Buddha Nagar were also directed to undertake a similar exercise.
- **14.4** The Committee was also be entrusted with the responsibility of submitting suggestions to streamline and regulate the functioning of

organizations involved in the solemnization of marriages and the subsequent issuance of marriage certificates.

15. The Inspector General, Stamp and Registration, Uttar Pradesh, has submitted data regarding the number of marriages registered across the State from 01.08.2023 to 01.08.2024. The information has been provided district-wise, and the details are reproduced herein below:

From 01.08.2023 to 01.08.2024		
District Name	Total Number of Marriage Registered	
Saharanpur	1178	
Muzaffarnagar	1014	
Bijnor	1106	
Moradabad	1528	
Rampur	747	
Amroha	613	
Meerut	4025	
Baghpat	610	
Ghaziabad	29022	
Gautam Buddha Nagar	3008	
Bulandshahar	1123	
Aligarh	1281	
Hathras	493	
Mathura	1491	
Agra	3630	
Firozabad	487	
Mainpuri	389	
Badaun	280	
Bareilly	1623	
Pilibhit	904	
Shahjahanpur	843	
Lakhimpur Kheri	748	
Sitapur	241	
Hardoi	318	
Unnao	655	

Lucknow	8001
Rae Bareli	565
Farrukhabad	474
Kannauj	237
Etawah	352
Auraiya	270
Kanpur Dehat	410
Kanpur Nagar	7094
Jalaun	478
Jhansi	1611
Lalitpur	222
Hamirpur	214
Mahoba	151
Banda	291
Chitrakoot	112
Fatehpur	414
Pratapgarh	940
Kaushambi	186
Prayagraj	2519
Barabanki	191
Ayodhya	533
Ambedkar Nagar	364
Sultanpur	347
Bahraich	216
Shrawasti	51
Balrampur	284
Gonda	581
Siddharthnagar	127
Basti	285
Sant Kabir Nagar	187
Mahrajganj	215
Gorakhpur	2284
Kushinagar	452
Deoria	635
Azamgarh	733
Mau	444
Ballia	1517
Jaunpur	846

Sambhal Shamli	875 519
Hapur	464
Amethi	287
Kasganj	119
Etah	364
Sonbhadra	235
Mirzapur	530
Bhadohi	194
Varanasi	2711
Chandauli	466
Ghazipur	1202

- 16. The report indicates that a total of 29,022 marriages were registered in Ghaziabad and 3,008 in Gautam Buddha Nagar during the period from 01.08.2023 to 01.08.2024. Similarly, the number of registered marriages in other major districts includes: Agra- 3,630; Lucknow- 8,001; Prayagraj- 2,019; Gorakhpur- 2,284; Varanasi-2,711; and Meerut- 4,025.
- **16.1** In contrast, significantly lower numbers were recorded in several districts, such as: Shrawasti- 51; Kasganj- 119; Chitrakoot- 112.
- 16.2 Furthermore, in the districts of Hathras, Firozabad, Mainpuri, Badaun, Hardoi, Farrukhabad, Kannauj, Etawah, Jalaun, Lalitpur, Hamirpur, Mahoba, Banda, Kaushambi, Sultanpur, Bahraich, Balrampur, Siddharth Nagar, Basti, Sant Kabir Nagar, Maharajganj, Mau, Bhadohi, Etah, Amethi, Hapur, and others, fewer than 500 marriages were registered during the same period.
- 17. Upon examination of the report submitted by the Office of the Assistant Inspector General of Registration, it is revealed that out of a

- total of 29,022, the marriages have been registered in the following manner by the respective Deputy Registrar's of district Ghaziabad:
- 17.1 19,047 marriages were registered by the Office of the Deputy Registrar Sadar-V.
- 17.2 5,177 marriages were registered by the Office of the Deputy Registrar Sadar-III.
- 17.3 2,286 marriages were registered by the Office of the Deputy Registrar Sadar-I.
- 17.4 761 marriages were registered by the Office of the Deputy Registrar Sadar-II, Ghaziabad.
- 17.5 299 marriages were registered by the Office of the Deputy Registrar Modi Nagar, Ghaziabad.
- 17.6 78 marriages were registered by the Office of the Deputy Registrar Loni-I.
- 17.7 22 marriages were registered by the Office of the Deputy Registrar Loni-II.
- 17.8 It has further been observed that, in a significant number of cases registered in Ghaziabad, both the bride and groom were predominantly residents of the eastern regions of Uttar Pradesh. They had no access or connection with Ghaziabad, except for the purpose of solemnizing the marriage and subsequently registering it at the Marriage Registration Office, Ghaziabad at the Office of the Deputy Registrar Sadar-V and III.
- 18. This large-scale fraud could not have occurred without the tacit support or wilful negligence of the local police and Deputy Registrar office. The continuation of such activities in an organized manner

strongly suggests their knowledge, if not outright consent. The sanctity of the institution of marriage has been seriously undermined by individuals who purportedly performed marriages under the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, in blatant disregard of its statutory requirements. Such practices have set a disturbing precedent that threatens to adversely affect the social fabric of society.

- 19. In view of the aforesaid facts and the gravity of the situation, this Court directed the Inspector General, Stamp and Registration, to thoroughly investigate the reasons behind the registration of 29,022 marriages in Ghaziabad, in stark contrast to the marriages registered in other districts. The Inspector General is further directed to submit suggestions and recommend appropriate remedial measures to address the discrepancies and prevent any misuse of the marriage registration process.
- 20. The Inspector General of Registration initially submitted a few suggestions; however, these were found to be inadequate to effectively address the growing social issue arising from elopement and runaway marriages- predominantly involving underage couples in violation of the Child Marriage Restraint Act, 1929. Such clandestine unions often result in families being torn apart by sudden and secretive alliances. It is imperative that the office of the Inspector General, Registration, undertakes a meaningful and coordinated dialogue with all relevant stakeholders, including authorities responsible for issuing Aadhar Cards, PAN Cards, Birth Certificates, Educational Board certificates, and marriage certificates issued by societies and trusts. Since these documents contain the date of birth, their verification prior to the issuance of marriage certificates by the Marriage Registration Office is essential to ensure compliance with statutory provisions.

- 21. Such marriages often precipitate grave consequences including human trafficking, sexual exploitation, and forced labour. The children involved suffer profound emotional and psychological trauma resulting from social instability, exploitation, coercion, manipulation, and interruption of their education. Moreover, these issues impose a substantial burden on the judicial system. Accordingly, it is imperative to establish a robust mechanism for thorough document verification and to ensure stringent accountability of trusts and societies involved in solemnizing and registering marriages.
- 22. Against this backdrop, suggestions were also invited from the Hon'ble Members of the Allahabad High Court Bar Association regarding measures to regulate frivolous petitions founded on forged documents, with a view to enforcing the provisions of the Child Marriage Restraint Act and preserving the sanctity of the institution of marriage, as well as safeguarding the welfare of society at large. In this regard, Shri R. V. Mishra, learned Advocate, has been appointed as *Amicus Curiae* to assist this Court in its deliberations.
- 23. Based on the foregoing deliberations, the Commissioners of Police, Gautam Buddha Nagar and Ghaziabad, are hereby directed to conduct a thorough and discreet inquiry into the activities of trusts and societies involved in solemnizing marriages in contravention of the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, and the Child Marriage Restraint Act, 1929. The scope of the investigation shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
- (i) Preparation of a comprehensive list of all trusts and societies performing marriages within the territorial jurisdiction of the districts of Gautam Buddha Nagar and Ghaziabad;
- (ii) Profiling of key functionaries of such trusts/societies, including the President, Secretary, and Purohit officiating the marriages,

covering social background, financial status, and any criminal antecedents, as well as any other individuals directly or indirectly involved;

- (iii) Identification and analysis of resources, links, and contacts through which the trust management- being the beneficiaries of these activities- approaches eloped boys and girls for solemnizing their marriages;
- **(iv)** Compilation of details regarding persons who assist eloped couples in fabricating false documents related to age, residential addresses, affidavits, and bogus police complaints, and who provide shelter or protection against legal action;
- (v) Examination of financial transactions conducted by the trusts/societies;
- (vi) Collection of any other discreet information that may assist this Court in comprehensively understanding the *modus operandi* of this entire cycle- from the solemnization of marriages to the filing of protection petitions in Court based on forged documents.
- **24.** During the pendency of the petition, the Ghaziabad Police registered six First Information Reports (FIRs) against various sham societies and trusts involved in conducting fraudulent marriages and issuing forged marriage certificates. The details are as under:
- (i) Case Crime No.470 of 2024, under section 318(4) of BNS, Police Station Kotwali, Ghaziabad Commissionerate.
- (ii) Case Crime No.471 of 2024, under section 318(4) of BNS, Police Station Kotwali, Ghaziabad Commissionerate.
- (iii) Case Crime No.1030 of 2024, under section 318(4) of BNS, Police Station Kavi Nagar, Ghaziabad Commissionerate.

- **(iv)** Case Crime No.753 of 2024, under section 318(4) of BNS, Police Station Vijay Nagar, Ghaziabad Commissionerate.
- (v) Case Crime No.940 of 2024, under section 318(4) of BNS, Police Station Nandgram, Ghaziabad Commissionerate.
- (vi) Likewise, an FIR was also registered as Case Crime No.619 of 2024, under section 318(4) of BNS, and subsequently amended to include Sections 338/336(3)/340(2) of BNS at P.S. Surajpur.
- 25. Upon perusal of the affidavit filed by the Commissioner of Police, Prayagraj, it is observed that ten First Information Reports (FIRs) have been registered against the alleged culprits. The details are outlined below:
- (i) Case Crime No.218 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Cantt., Prayagraj.
- (ii) Case Crime No.235 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Cantt., Prayagraj.
- (iii) Case Crime No.236 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Cantt., Prayagraj.
- (iv) Case Crime No.237 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Cantt., Prayagraj.
- (v) Case Crime No.219 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Cantt., Prayagraj.
- (vi) Case Crime No.239 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Cantt., Prayagraj.
- (vii) Case Crime No.613 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Handia, Prayagraj.

- (viii) Case Crime No.182 of 2024, under sections 319(2), 318(4), 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Kaundiyar, Prayagraj.
- (ix) Case Crime No.238 of 2024, under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station- Cantt., Prayagraj.
- (x) Case Crime No.189 of 2024, under sections 336(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, sections 9/10/11 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, at Police Station- Holagarh, Prayagraj.
- **26.** An affidavit dated 26.11.2024, filed by the Commissioner of Police, Prayagraj, states that a detailed scrutiny of 77 writ petitions connected with the leading case, Civil Misc. Writ Petition (C) No. 22491 of 2024, *Shanidev & Another vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Others*, was conducted, till the filing of the compliance affidavit. Upon examination, it was revealed that in 10 of these petitions, the petitioners had annexed forged marriage certificates purportedly issued by Arya Samaj organizations. However, the office bearers of the concerned Arya Samaj organizations categorically denied issuing such certificates or solemnizing the marriages of the petitioners.
- 26.1 In such cases, it was established that forged documents and fabricated marriage certificates were attached to the writ petitions to seek legal relief from this Court, which is illegal. In instances where *prima facie* offences were identified, First Information Reports (FIRs) were registered in the Ganganagar Zone, City Zone, Yamunanagar Zone, and Commissionerate Prayagraj.
- 26.2 It is further stated that in Case Crime No. 218/2024, registered under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), and 340(2) of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Cantt, Commissionerate Prayagraj, during the investigation, the petitioner, Shrikant, son of Raju, resident of Village Mohanpura, Larkhor, Police Station Saifai, Etawah, was arrested on

- 02.11.2024 by the Investigating Officer. Based on his statement and information received from a reliable informant, the police proceeded to Ajay Enterprises, a photocopy shop near the High Court, where two individuals were found working on separate computers. Upon inquiry, one identified himself as Raja alias Shesh Mani Dubey and the other as Anil Kumar Prajapati.
- 26.3 During inspection of the computer operated by Raja alias Shesh Mani Dubey, the Investigating Officer discovered a proforma of a marriage certificate purportedly issued by Arya Samaj, Krishna Nagar, Prayag (address: 529, K.L., Krishna Nagar, Kydganj, Prayagraj). A colour printout of the proforma was obtained using the printer connected to the computer, which revealed a printed certificate bearing illegible signatures of a priest and a seal with the name "Santosh Kumar Shastri, Priest, Arya Samaj Prayag," along with signatures and seals of the issuing authority.
- 26.4 Upon inspection of the second computer, marriage certificates from two other institutions were found: one from "Arya Samaj Gaushala Nagar, Vrindavan-Mathura, affiliated with the Sarvadeshik Sabha, New Delhi," and the other from "Arya Samaj Ulau Kheda, Firozabad, U.P." Printouts of these proformas were also obtained. The proforma of the first certificate bore illegible signatures of "Shyam Sundar Arya," along with a seal stating "Secretary, Arya Samaj Gaushala Nagar, Vrindavan, Mathura," while the second certificate bore an illegible seal and signature of "Arya Samaj Ulau Kheda, Firozabad, U.P." Both computers were connected to a common printer.
- 26.5 Upon inspecting the computer used by Anil Kumar Prajapati, the Investigating Officer discovered a signed and sealed proforma of a marriage certificate purportedly issued by Arya Samaj Ulau Kheda,

Firozabad, U.P. A color printout of the proforma was taken, confirming these details. Both accused were informed of the charges against them and subsequently arrested. The police seized computer CPUs, keyboards, mouse, printers, and monitors, and followed all necessary legal procedures, as documented in case diary no. 8.

- **26.6** During the investigation of Case Crime No. 613/2024, registered under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), and 340(2) IPC at Police Station Handia, Commissionerate Prayagraj, accused Ashish Kumar (aged 19), son of Nandlal, resident of Harson Pur, Ahiri, Police Station Handia, Prayagraj, was arrested on 30.10.2024 by Sub-Inspector Mani Bhushan Shukla, and appropriate legal actions were initiated.
- 26.7 In compliance with the order dated 07.11.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court in Civil Misc. Writ (C) No. 24353/2024 (Smt. Preeti and Others v. State of Uttar Pradesh and Others), the deponent, through letter no. A-3944/2024 dated 17.11.2024, initiated an inquiry which revealed that the petitioners had submitted forged marriage certificates to seek protective relief from the High Court, an act that is illegal. Consequently, First Information Report (F.I.R.) No. 248/2024 was registered at Police Station Cantt, Commissionerate Prayagraj, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), and 340(2) of the IPC against Smt. Preeti, Bhupendra, and other unidentified persons. The investigation is currently ongoing.
- 27. In compliance with the order dated 7.11.2024, the District Magistrate, Gautam Buddha Nagar, constituted a committee vide letter dated 14.11.2024. The committee comprises the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Gautam Buddha Nagar, as Chairman; the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Headquarters, Gautam Buddha Nagar; the Deputy Registrar (Chits & Funds), Gautam Buddha Nagar; Sub-

Registrar-I; and the Sub-Registrar, Dadri, Gautam Buddha Nagar. The committee has been tasked with examining the issues raised in this matter and submitting its report to the Court.

- **28.** The Assistant Inspector General of Registration, Prayagraj, submitted an affidavit dated 6.12.2024 by incorporating with the suggestions based on the findings of the committee's report, forwarding the following suggestions, which are outlined herein after.
- 28.1 All marriages solemnized or conducted in Uttar Pradesh are registered under the *Uttar Pradesh Marriage Registration Rules, 2017*. However, these rules neither ask in the application about the religious customs/rituals under which the marriage was solemnized nor mention them in the registration certificate. An amendment to the rules is recommended to mandate applicants to provide details regarding the customs and traditions under which the marriage was solemnized or conducted. Only after the proposed amendment can compliance with the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 or other applicable marriage laws for different religions be ensured.
- 28.2 Under the Uttar Pradesh Marriage Registration Rules, 2017. marriage registration is currently based on the declarations made by applicants and the verification of uploaded evidence and certificates with their originals. However, in the existing online registration system, there is no provision to authorize the Marriage Registration Officer to raise objections and reject applications on grounds of suspicion. In case of doubts, the parties are simply sent back, and no record of such instances has been maintained in the office. It is recommended to amend the current system to maintain records of objections raised by the Marriage Registration Officer, ensuring transparency. For the implementation of this, necessary amendments to the existing rules are also required.

- 28.3 It appears necessary to frame rules or laws to regulate institutions, religious individuals, priests, and others involved in conducting marriages. This will help curb the issuance of fraudulent marriage certificates and ensure that the marriage process is conducted authentically and in compliance with legal standards.
- 28.4 Directions be given to the institutions conducting marriages to maintain photocopies of documents such as age and residence proofs of the bride and groom. This measure will ensure accountability and provide verifiable records in case of any disputes or legal investigations in the future.
- **28.5** A robust and reliable system for the online verification of age certificates should be developed and integrated with the marriage registration process. This will ensure the authenticity of age verification during marriage registration and prevent misuse of false documents.
- 28.6 The Marriage Registration Officer should have the authority or legal sanction to register a marriage only after verifying the age certificates and marriage documents. This will ensure compliance with legal requirements and prevent the registration of marriages based on incomplete or fraudulent information.
- 28.7 It is noteworthy that currently in Uttar Pradesh, no marriage is registered under the Hindu Marriage Registration Rules, 1973. Since the implementation of the Uttar Pradesh Marriage Registration Rules, 2017, on August 13, 2017, the registration of marriages of all religions are registered under these rules. It is also important to note that these rules were framed by the Department of Women and Child Development, The Department of Stamp & Registration has no authority to amend them to plug the loopholes in marriage registration

process. Therefore, it is prayed, that the court may kindly issue necessary directives regarding amendments to the Rules, 2017.

29. Following consultations with the aforementioned stakeholders, the office of the Inspector General, Stamp and Registration, after extensive consultations with stakeholders, issued Notification No. 1547/24 dated 14.10.2024, whereby clear and specific instructions has been issued to the office of Deputy Registrar. The relevant portion of notification dated 14.10.2024 has been extracted herein below:

"प्रेषक.

सहायक महानिरीक्षक निबंधन, गाजियाबाद।

X X X X X X

संख्याः १५४७ / स०म०नि०-गा०बाद/२०२४ दिनांक १४-१०-२०२४

विपयः- सिविल मिस रिट याचिका संख्या-22491 वर्ष 2024 शनिदेव व अन्य बनाम उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य व अन्य में अभिकथन (Instructions) दाखिल किये जाने के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

कृपया उपर्युक्त विषयक सिविल मिस रिट याचिका संख्या-22491 वर्ष 2024 शनिदेव व अन्य बनाम उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य व अन्य का सन्दर्भ ग्रहण करने का कष्ट करें, जिसके द्वारा उक्त रिट याचिका में मा० उच्च न्यायालय, इलाहाबाद द्वारा दिनांक 07.08.2024 व 03.09.2024 को पारित आदेश के अनुपालन में पूर्व में इस कार्यालय द्वारा निर्गत पत्र संख्या-1404/2024 दिनांक 20.09.2024 द्वारा अभिकथन दाखिल किया गया है।

प्रश्नगत प्रकरण में मा० उच्च न्यायालय, इलाहाबाद द्वारा पारित आदेशों के अनुपालन में महानिरीक्षक निबंधन, उत्तर प्रदेश, लखनऊ द्वारा अपने परिपत्र संख्या-3375/तीन-ए-शि०का० लख०/2024 दिनांक 10 अक्टूबर, 2024, जो समस्त उप महानिरीक्षक निबंधन, उत्तर प्रदेश, समस्त सहायक महानिरीक्षक निबंधन, उत्तर प्रदेश, एवं समस्त उप निबंधक, उत्तर प्रदेश को सन्बोधित तथा अन्य को पृष्ठांकित किया गया है। उक्त परिपत्र द्वारा कार्यालय महानिरीक्षक निबंधन, उत्तर प्रदेश द्वारा पूर्व में निर्गत परिपत्रों को अवक्रमित करते हुए नवीन परिपत्र जारी किया गया है, जिसमें विवाह रजिस्ट्रीकरण विषयक निम्नलिखित दिशा-निर्देश प्रसारित किये गये हैं:-

- 1- विवाह के दोनों पक्षकारों (वर एवं वधु) की पहचान उनकी सहमति के आधार पर आधार प्रमाणीकरण के माध्यम से की जायेगी।
- 2- विवाह के दोनों पक्षकार यथा वर एवं वधु के साथ-साथ दो साक्षियों का विवरण स्टाम्प एवं रजिस्ट्रेशन विभाग द्वारा उपलब्ध कराये गये सॉफ्टवेयर पर प्रविष्टि की जायेगी। सम्बन्धित विवाह पंजीकरण अधिकारी के समक्ष वर एवं

वधु के साथ-साथ दो साक्षियों के फोटो एवं बायोमैट्रिक अंगुष्ठ निशान सॉफ्टवेयर पर दर्ज किये जायेंगे।

- 3- विवाह पंजीकरण अधिकारी द्वारा वर एवं वधु की जन्मतिथि के सत्यापन के समाधान के बाद ही पंजीकरण की कार्यवाही की जायेगी।
- 4- केन्द्रीय माध्यमिक शिक्षा बोर्ड के प्रमाणपत्रों के सत्यापन हेतु बोर्ड द्वारा डिजिटल शैक्षणिक दस्तावेजों के संग्रह हेतु एक ऑनलाइन व्यवस्था सृजित की गयी है, जो डिजिलॉकर प्लेटफॉर्म के साथ एकीकृत है। ऐसे समस्त शैक्षणिक दस्तावेजों का सत्यापन https://apisetu.gov.in पर किया जायेगा।
- 5- माध्यमिक शिक्षा बोर्ड के प्रमाणपत्रों के सत्यापन हेतु https://results.upmsp.edu.in पर जाकर वर्ष 2002 के बाद निर्गत परीक्षाफल जिमें जन्मतिथि भी अंकित होती है, का सत्यापन किया जायेगा।
- 6- रजिस्ट्रार जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण द्वारा निर्गत प्रमाण-पत्र का सत्यापन सी०आर०एस० पोर्टल से विभागीय पोर्टल के ए०पी०आई० संयोजन के पश्चात् प्राप्त किया जा सकता है। जन्म प्रमाण पत्र से सम्बन्धित प्रारम्भिक सूचना जनगणना विभाग (Census) से प्राप्त की जा सकती है।
- 7- पासपोर्ट, स्थायी ड्राईविंग लाईसेन्स एवं पैन में दर्ज जन्मतिथि का सत्यापन सम्बन्धित विभाग के पोर्टल से किया जायेगा। उक्त के अतिरिक्त विभागीय सॉफ्टवेयर का Protean e Gov Technologies Ltd के पोर्टल से संयोजन किया जाना प्रक्रियाधीन है, जिसके पश्चात पासपोर्ट, ड्राईविंग लाइसेन्स एवं पैन में दर्ज जन्मतिथि का सत्यापन एक ही पोर्टल से किया जा सकेगा।
- 8- CISCE बोर्ड द्वारा निर्गत प्रमाण-पत्रों का सत्यापन www.cisce.org पोर्टल पर किया जायेगा।
- 9- ऐसे प्रकरणों में जहां विवाह के पक्षकारों से सम्बन्धित शिक्षण संस्थाओं के अभिलेख ऑनलाईन उपलब्ध नहीं है, वहां मूल प्रमाण पत्र से मिलान करते हुए जन्मतिथि का सत्यापन किया जायेगा। कोई भी जन्मतिथि का प्रमाण-पत्र उपलब्ध न होने की दशा में सम्बन्धित जनपद के मुख्य चिकित्सा अधिकारी द्वारा निर्गत आयु प्रमाण-पत्र से सत्यापन किया जायेगा।
- 10- वर एवं वधु के साथ-साथ दो साक्षियों के पहचान, पते के प्रमाण-पत्र एवं आयु के प्रमाणपत्र के रूप में निम्न में से एक पहचान पत्र अपलोड किया जायेगा।
- (I) पक्षकारों की पहचान के सम्बन्ध में आधार के अतिरिक्त निम्न में से किसी एक अतिरिक्त पहचान-पत्र का अपलोड किया जाना।
- (क) स्थायी ड्राईविंग लाईसेन्स ।
- (ख) पैन कार्ड
- (ग) मतदाता पहचान-पत्र
- (घ) पासपोर्ट
- (च) सरकार द्वारा मान्यता प्राप्त कोई अन्य अभिलेख ।
- (ii) पक्षकारों के पते के सम्बन्ध में निम्न में से किसी एक अभिलेख का अपलोड किया जाना।
- (क) आधार कार्ड
- (ख) मतदाता पहचान-पत्र
- (ग) स्थायी ड्राईविंग लाईसेन्स ।
- (घ) पासपोर्ट
- (ङ) राशन कार्ड
- (च) यूटिलिटी बिल (जल, विद्युत, टेलीफोन अथवा गैस बिल)
- (छ) रेन्ट एग्रीमेन्ट

- (ज) बैंक पासबुक
- (झ) सरकार द्वारा निर्गत कोई अन्य अभिलेख।
- (iii) पक्षकारों की आयु (जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र) के सम्बन्ध में निम्न में से किसी एक अभिलेख का अपलोड किया जाना।
- (क) पासपोर्ट
- (ख) स्थायी ड्राईविंग लाईसेन्स।
- (ग) पैनकार्ड
- (घ) हाईस्कूल / एस०एस०सी० प्रमाण-पत्र (सरकार द्वारा मान्यता प्राप्त बोर्ड द्वारा निर्गत)
- (ङ) रजिस्ट्रार, जन्म मृत्यू पंजीकरण द्वारा निर्गत प्रमाण-पत्र
- (च) सी०एम०ओ० द्वारा जारी जन्मतिथि/आयू प्रमाण-पत्र
- (iv) वर एवं वधु द्वारा दहेज प्रतिषेध (वर-वधु भेंट सूची) नियम 1985 के अन्तर्गत उपहारों की सूची व अन्य तथ्यों से सम्बन्धित विवरण का प्रारूप स्टाम्प एवं रजिस्ट्रेशन विभाग के पोर्टल से डाउनलोड कर आवश्यक प्रविष्टि के बाद शपथ-पत्र के रूप में अपलोड किया जाना।
- (v) विवाह सम्पन्न होने के साक्ष्य के सम्बन्ध में निम्न में से कोई एक प्रमाण-पत्र अपलोड किया जाना।
- (क) विवाह स्थल के प्राधिकारी / धार्मिक प्राधिकारी द्वारा निर्गत प्रमाण-पत्र।
- (ख) राजपत्रित अधिकारी अथवा किसी स्थानीय निकाय के सदस्य द्वारा निर्गत प्रमाण-पत्र।
- (ग) विवाह निमंत्रण पत्र की प्रति। मा० उच्च न्यायालय, इलाहाबाद द्वारा पारित आदेशों के अनुपालन में महानिरीक्षक निबंधन, उत्तर प्रदेश, लखनऊ द्वारा अपने परिपत्र संख्या -3375/तीन-ए-शि०का० लख०/2024 दिनांक 10 अक्टूबर, 2024, द्वारा पूर्व में निर्गत परिपत्रों को अवक्रमित करते हुए उपरोक्त नवीन दिशा-निर्देश प्रसारित किये गये हैं, जिसकी छायाप्रति सुलभ सन्दर्भ हेतु माननीय उच्च न्यायालय, इलाहाबाद के संज्ञान में लाने हेतु सादर प्रेषित है। संलम्नक-यथोपिर।"
- **30.** The fraudulent issuance of marriage certificates not only violates statutory provisions but also infringes upon fundamental rights by exposing minors to premature marital obligations, potential exploitation, and denial of educational and personal development opportunities. This contravenes constitutional guarantees of dignity and equality, besides undermining public order.
- 31. The involvement of local police and administrative authorities in enabling these malpractices calls for institutional reforms and accountability mechanisms to prevent future abuses. It also highlights the need for interdepartmental coordination- between registration offices, police, welfare departments, and educational institutions- to create an integrated verification system.

- 32. The issues highlighted herein underscore the pressing need for a robust and verifiable marriage registration mechanism to ensure the validity and sanctity of marriages. While the Hindu Marriage Act lays down the legal framework for the solemnization of marriages and stipulates the consequences of void and voidable marriages, as well as other related provisions, its efficacy is contingent upon the proper enforcement of its provisions.
- 33. In view of the serious concerns raised, this Court, in the exercise of its *suo motu* writ jurisdiction and the authority conferred upon the High Court, hereby directs the Principal Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, to amend the Uttar Pradesh Marriage Registration Rules, 2017, in coordination with the Inspector General of Stamp and Registration, Uttar Pradesh. Such amendments shall be carried out within six months, taking into account the suggestions set forth in aforesaid paragraph 30-30.7.
- 33.1 Immediately upon receipt of a copy of this order, the office of the Chief Secretary shall appoint a designated officer to coordinate and ensure the prompt amendment of the Uttar Pradesh Marriage Registration Rules, 2017 in align with the issue flagged herein.
- **34. Interim Directions Pending Framing of New Rules:** Until the amended rules are duly framed and brought into effect, the Inspector General of Stamps and Registration is hereby directed to ensure the following:
- **34.1** All Deputy Registrars entrusted with the task of marriage registration shall strictly adhere to the instructions issued under the notification dated 14.10.2024, in both letter and spirit.
- 34.2 An affidavit sworn by the *Purohit* or the person who claims to have solemnized the marriage shall be made mandatory at the time of

registration of marriage. The affidavit must contain the following particulars to establish the identity and credibility of the *Purohit*: (i) Full name, (ii) Father's name, (iii) Permanent address, (iv) Present address, (v) Copy of Aadhaar Card, (vi) Any other valid identity document, (vii) Mobile number, (viii) A recent passport-sized photograph, (ix) A solemn declaration affirming that he performed the marriage, and (x) A video recording of the marriage ceremony, which shall be mandatory, particularly in cases involving runaway couples. The *Prohit* or the person who solemnized the marriage must be physically present at the office of the Marriage Registrar at the time of registration.

- 34.3 The Deputy Registrar shall make an unequivocal endorsement on the reverse side of the marriage certificate to the effect that: (i) The instructions contained in the notification dated 14.10.2024 have been duly complied with; and (ii) The affidavit sworn by the *Purohit* or the person who claims to have solemnised the marriage has been perused and found satisfaction, and he was present at the time of registration of marriage.
- **34.4** The marriage shall be registered only at a place where either party to the marriage ordinarily resides or where the parents of either party ordinarily reside. An unregistered rent agreement shall not be considered as valid proof of residence.
- **34.5** The concerned Assistant Inspector Generals of Stamp and Registration shall be responsible for supervising compliance. They shall ensure that the Deputy Registrars are discharging their duties in strict accordance with the above directions. A monthly entry certifying such compliance shall be recorded in a register maintained in the respective offices for this purpose.

VERDICTUM.IN

44

The aforesaid interim directions shall specifically apply to the 35.

registration of marriages involving runaway couples- i.e., those who

have entered into a matrimonial alliance without the consent of their

respective family members. Provided that, if any of the family

members of the parties to the marriage are present at the time of

registration, the Marriage Officer may, at his discretion, waive the

aforesaid conditions, either wholly or in part, after being satisfied as

to the genuineness of the marriage.

36. Reverting back to the prayers made in all connected petitions,

stand disposed of, with liberty granted to the petitioners to approach

the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP). The S.S.P.

shall, upon verification of the claims and contentions, take

appropriate remedial measures as deemed fit.

37. The Registrar (Compliance) is directed to forthwith transmit a

copy of this order to; (i) Chief Secretary, Government of Uttar

Pradesh, (ii) Principal Secretary, Department of Women & Child

Development, and (iii) Inspector General, Stamp and Registration,

Uttar Pradesh, for necessary compliance.

38. Accordingly, the petitions are hereby disposed of in the above

terms.

Order Date :- 12.5.2025

Anil K. Sharma

Vinod Diwakar, J.

ally signed by :-KUMAR SHARMA