




S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3470/2025



----Petitioner



- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary To The Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Gudamalani, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(4) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3500/2025

Dildar S/o Jamaldeen, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Dauniya Ka Pada, Village Sanwa, Tehsil Dhanau, District Barmer Presently Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Sanwa, Tehsil Dhanau, District Barmer (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary To The Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Chohtan, District Barmer.
- 4. Vikas Adhikari, Gram Panchayat Sanwar, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(7) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3963/2025

- 1. Devendra Singh S/o Mool Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Solankiyo Ki Dhani, Jiyaberi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 2. Jabar Singh S/o Bhura Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Jira Beri, Tehsil Balesar, Nimbo Ka Gaanv, Jodhpur,



Rajasthan.

- 3. Gopal Singh S/o Jabar Singh, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Jiyaberi, nimba Ka Gaanv, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Paras Kanwar W/o Mool Singh, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Jiyaberi, Tehsil Shergarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 5. Mool Singh S/o Bakhtwar Singh, Aged About 72 Years, R/o Jiyaberi, Nimba Ka Gaanv, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Sumer Singh S/o Mool Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o 111, Jiyaberi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 7. Jabar Singh S/o Managal Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Solankiyo Ki Dhani, Jiyaberi, Balesar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 8. Bhawani Singh S/o Jabar Singh, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Gaanv Taliya, Jiya Beri, Balesar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 9. Punam Kanwar W/o Devendra Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Solankiyo Ki Dhani, Jiyaberi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 10. Mohan Kanwar W/o Hadwant Singh, Aged About 63 Years, R/o Jiya Beri, Nimbo Ki Dhani, Balesar, Jodhpur.
- 11. Surendra Singh S/o Mool Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Jiya Beri, Tehsil Shergarh, Nimbo Ka Gaanv, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus


- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.).
- 2. The District Collector (Land Revenue), District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 3. The Tehsildar, Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Jiyaberi, Panchayat Samiti Balesar, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.


----Respondents

(8) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3981/2025

1. Chhater Singh Rathore S/o Chhog Singh, Aged About 32









Years, R/o Village Boongdi, District-Phalodi 342312.

- 2. Arjun Ram S/o Dewa Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village Boongdi, District-Phalodi 342312.
- 3. Rajendra Singh S/o Karni Singh, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Village Boongdi, District-Phalodi 342312.
- Sher Singh S/o Sardar Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o
 Village Boongdi, District-Phalodi 342312.

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 2. The District Collector, Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 4. Tehsildar, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.
- 5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Boogdi, Panchayat Samiti Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.

----Respondents

(9) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4040/2025


- Gaje Singh Rathore S/o Lal Singh Rathore, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Vishan Singh Ki Dhani Raani Gaanv, District Barmer
- 2. Jitendra Singh S/o Babu Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer
- 3. Nimb Singh S/o Deravar Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer
- 4. Hakam Singh S/o Chandan Singh, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer.
- 5. Pawan Singh S/o Hukam Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer.
- 6. Gena Ram S/o Karna Ram, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer.
- 7. Nishar Khan S/o Photu Khan, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer.











- 9. Mahendra Singh S/o Hanwant Singh, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer.
- 10. Pika Kanwar W/o Mahendra Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Raani Gaanv, District Barmer.

----Petitioners

Versus

- State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary ,
 Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 2. The District, Collector (Land Revenue) District- Barmer Raj.
- 3. The Tehsildar, Barmer , Distric-Jodhpur Raj.
- 4. The Patwari (Land Revenue), Rani Gaanv, District Barmer.
- 5. The Gram Panchayat Jiyaberi, Panchayat Samiti Baleasr, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents

(10) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4041/2025

Jagdish S/o Likhma Ram, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Gram Kundava, Daukiyo Ki Dhani, Dhorimanna, District Barmer.

----Petitioner

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Revenue Department, Jaipur.
- 2. District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Division Dhorimanna District Barmer.
- 4. Tehsildar, Dhorimanna, District Barmer.
- 5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Bhelo Ki Dhani Kalan, Panchayat Samiti Dhorimanna, District Barmer.

----Respondents







Krishan Kumar S/o Bhoma Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Gram Loharwa, Tehsil Dhorimanna, District Barmer.

----Petitioner



- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Revenue Department, Jaipur
- 2. District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Division Dhorimanna District Barmer.
- 4. Tehsildar Dhorimanna, District Barmer
- 5. Sarpanch Gram Panchayat Loharwa, Panchayat Samiti Dhorimanna, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(19) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4443/2025

- Shravan Ram S/o Shri Shera Ram, Aged About 33 Years,
 R/O Village Baldev Nagar, Tehsil Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 2. Sunita W/o Shravan Ram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Godaro Ki Dhaniyan, Village Baldev Nagar, Tehsil Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 3. Kumbha Ram S/o Shri Megha Ram, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Dewaniya, Tehsil Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 4. Bhaira Ram S/o Shri Uda Ram, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Kadwasaro Ki Dhani Village Baldev Nagar, Tehsil Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- Sata Ram S/o Shri Omprakash, Aged About 20 Years,
 R/o Village Baldev Nagar, Tehsil Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

 The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan,



[CW-3470/2025]

[2025:RJ-JD:9746]



Secretariat, Jaipur.

- 2. District Collector, Jodhpur.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Balesar, Jodhpur.
- 4. Tehsildar Chamu, District Jodhpur.
- 5. Gram Panchayat Dewaniya, Panchayat Samiti Chamu, District Jodhpur Trough Its Village Development Officer.

----Respondents

(35) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4507/2025

- 1. Jetha Ram S/o Jagmal Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- Pancha Ram S/o Shembu Ram, Aged About 50 Years,
 R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra,
 Rajasthan.
- 3. Jagma Ram S/o Tulsa Ram, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 4. Dharmveer Singh S/o Goma Ram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 5. Narna Ram S/o Bhera Ram, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 6. Nema Ram S/o Narna Ram, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 7. Bhopa Ram S/o Hindu Ram, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 8. Thana Ram S/o Bhopa Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 9. Champa Devi W/o Narna Ram, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 10. Kheta Ram S/o Bhopa Ram, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
- 11. Goma Ram S/o Purkha Ram, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Ad Sindhari, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department




[CW-3470/2025]



Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

- 2. The District Collector, Balotra.
- 3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sindhari, Balotra.
- 4. The Tehsildar (Land Records), Sindhari, District Balotra.



----Respondents

(37) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4512/2025

Ram Kumar S/o Ghamanda Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Nehro Ki Dhani Khurd, Nokhada, District Barmer.

----Petitioner

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary To The Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Gudamalani, District Barmer.
- 4. Tehsildar, Nokhada, District Barmer.
- 5. Vikas Adhikari, Gram Panchayat Anakhiya, Panchayat Samiti Aadel, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(129) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3112/2025

- 1. Mota Ram S/o Shri Mana Ram, Aged About 52 Years,
- 2. Indra Ram S/o Jawara Ram, Aged About 61 Years,
- 3. Duda Ram S/o Maharaj Ram, Aged About 60 Years, All R/o Rameshwar Nagar, Goliya Jetmal, District Barmer

----Petitioners

Versus

 State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary To The Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.







- 2. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Gudamalani, District Barmer

----Respondents





Partapa Ram S/o Shri Annaram, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Bhedana District Barmer (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary ,
 Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan,
 Secretariat, Jaipur,
- 2. District Collector (Land Record), Barmer
- 3. Tehsildar Gudamalani, District Barmer Raj.
- 4. The Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Bhedana, Tehsil Gudamalani, District Barmer, (Raj.)

----Respondents

(134) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3317/2025

- 1. Shokat S/o Suleman, Aged About 37 Years,
- 2. Kabal S/o Hasan, Aged About 61 Years,
- 3. Akbar S/o Mohammad, Aged About 54 Years,
 All R/o Village Bhunia, Tehsil Dhanau, District Barmer.

----Petitioners

Versus


- State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Revenue (Group Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Chouhtan, District Barmer.
- 4. Tehsildar (Land Record) Dhanau, District Barmer.
- 5. Registrar, Board Of Revenue, Rajasthan, Ajmer.

----Respondents

(180) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14711/2024

9 of 41)

[2025:RJ-JD:9746]









- Bheekh Singh S/o Kistur Singh Gahlot, Ward Panch (Ward Number 7), Aged About 69 Years, Address-Village Kelansar, Gram Panchayat - Ghantiyali, District Phalodi (Jodhpur), Rajasthan 342311.
- Bhuri W/o Chirma Ram, Ward Panch (Ward Number 3),
 Aged About 94 Years, Address- Villa Kelansar, Gram
 Panchayat- Ghantiyali, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi
 (Jodhpur) Rajasthan 342311.
- 4. Mohan Ram S/o Dhanna Ram, Ward Panch (Ward Number 5), Aged About 64 Years, Address- Village Kelansar, Gram Panchayat- Ghantiyali, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi (Jodhpur) Rajasthan 342311.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 2. The District Collector, Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 4. Tehsildaar, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.
- 5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Kelansar, Panchayat Samiti Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.

----Respondents

(281) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 755/2023

- 1. Veera Ram Choudhary S/o Deva Ram Choudhary, Aged About 41 Years,
- 2. Hukma Ram S/o Chuna Ram, Aged About 28 Years,
- 3. Deva Ram S/o Dungra Ram, Aged About 64 Years,
- 4. Padma Ram S/o Roopa Ram, Aged About 45 Years,
- 5. Banka Ram S/o Dungar Ram, Aged About 63 Years,

(10 of 41)



Deda Ram S/o Roopa Ram, Aged About 44 Years,
 All Residing At Village Kothe Ka Tala, Gharasar,
 Chouhtan, District Barmer.

----Petitioners

Versus



- 1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Department Of Gram Panchayat And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Tehsildar (Land Revenue), Chouhtan, District Barmer.
- 4. Sub Divisional Officer, Chouhtan, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(338) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1899/2025

- 1. Khanga Ram S/o Kana Ram, Aged About 68 Years,
- 2. Purkha Ram S/o Sona Ram, Aged About 64 Years,
- 3. Jetha Ram S/o Lichmana Ram, Aged About 37 Years, All R/o Sutharo Ki Dhani, Baand, Tehsil Mokhada, District Barmer, District Barmer (Raj.).

----Petitioners


Versus

- State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary To The Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Gudamalani, District Barmer.
- 4. Vikas Adhikari, Gram Panchayat Baand Beri, Panchayat Samiti Aadel, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(339) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10882/2024

- Gunesha Ram S/o Mula Ram, Aged About 53 Years, Add-Gaon Taliya Kelansar, Gram Panchayat- Ghantiyali, Tehsil- Ghantiyali, District Phalodi, Rajasthan 342311.
- 2. Shravan Ram Nain S/o Jora Ram Nain, Aged About 43



Years, Add- Gaon Kelansar, Gram Panchayat Ghantiyali, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi, Rajasthan 342311.

----Petitioners

Versus



- The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 2. The District Collector, Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 4. Tehsildar, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.
- 5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Kelansar, Panchayat Samiti Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.

----Respondents


(340) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19881/2024

- 1. Jabar Singh S/o Shri Nag Singh, Aged About 45 Years,
- 2. Durjan Singh S/o Nag Singh, Aged About 40 Years,
- 3. Khet Singh S/o Jabbar Singh, Aged About 47 Years,
- 4. Chhatar Singh S/o Mal Singh, Aged About 47 Years,
- 5. Vishal Singh S/o Ridmal Singh, Aged About 25 Years,
- 6. Vijay Singh S/o Nag Singh, Aged About 60 Years,
- 7. Om Singh S/o Lal Singh, Aged About 26 Years,
- 8. Chhotu Singh S/o Vijay Singh, Aged About 22 Years,
- 9. Devi Singh S/o Vijay Singh, Aged About 30 Years,
- 10. Lal Singh S/o Gaje Singh, Aged About 50 Years, All B/c Rajput R/o Village Ramsar, Tehsil Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. District Collector, Jodhpur.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Balesar, Jodhpur.





4. Tehsildar, Chamu, District Jodhpur.

5. Gram Panchayat Ramsar, Panchayat Samiti Chamu, District Jodhpur Through Its Village Development Officer.

----Respondents




- 1. Jethu Singh S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 46 Years, Resident Of Dewatu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- Chhatur Singh S/o Shri Guman Singh, Aged About 46
 Years, Resident Of Vijay Singh Nagar, Dewatu, Jodhpur,
 Rajasthan.
- 3. Sumer Singh S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Vijay Singh Nagar, Dewatu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Jaswant Singh S/o Shri Mangal Singh, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Of Vijay Singh Nagar, Dewatu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 5. Aam Singh S/o Shri Nakhat Singh, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Vijay Singh Nagar, Dewatu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Nakhat Singh S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 61 Years, Resident Of Village Devatu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 7. Devi Singh S/o Shri Hir Singh, Aged About 69 Years, Resident Of Vijay Singh Nagar, Dewatto, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 8. Luna Ram S/o Shri Daula Ram, Aged About 53 Years, Resident Of Dewatoo, Shergarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 9. Ganesh Kataria S/o Shri Mohan Ram Kataria, Aged About 32 Years, Resident Of 143, Katariyo Ki Dhaniya, Vijay Singh Nagar, Dewatoo, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- Dungar Singh S/o Shri Devi Singh, Aged About 80 Years,
 Resident Of Sohan Nagar, Dewatoo, Shergarh, Jodhpur,
 Rajasthan.
- 11. Bhur Singh S/o Shri Kishore Singh, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of Chanwant Sagar, Dewatoo, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus




VERDICTUM.IN









- 2. The District Collector, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 3. The Tehsildar, Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Devatu, Panchayat Samiti Chamu, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents

(342) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20589/2024

- Raval Chand S/o Shri Shishakaran, Aged About 53 Years,
 R/o Village Devatu, Shergarh, District Jodhpur,
 Rajasthan.
- 2. Gunesha Ram S/o Shri Lakha Ram, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Gram Taliya, Dewatoo, Shergarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 3. Bhom Singh S/o Shri Sagat Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Luharo Ki Dhani, Dewatu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Sanga Ram S/o Shri Adha Kishan, Aged About 69 Years, R/o Brahmano Ki Dhaniya, Devatu, Balsar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 5. Rawal Mal S/o Shri Mangi Lal, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Village Post Dewatu, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Aidan Ram S/o Shri Radhakishan, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Brahmano Ki Dhaniya, Devatu, Shergarh, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur. (Raj.)
- 2. The District Collector, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 3. The Tehsildar Chamu, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Devatu, Panchayat Samiti Chamu, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents


(343) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21134/2024

- 1. Suresh Singh S/o Devi Singh, Aged About 39 Years,
- Dewa Ram S/o Rana Ram, Aged About 65 Years,



VERDICTUM.IN

[2025:RJ-JD:9746]





3. Bhanwar Singh S/o Sujan Singh, Aged About 65 Years,

- 4. Likhma Ram S/o Bhim Singh, Aged About 40 Years,
- 5. Bhanwara Ram S/o Puna Ram, Aged About 25 Years,
- 6. Sher Singh S/o Punam Singh, Aged About 40 Years,
- 7. Achla Ram S/o Purkha Ram, Aged About 70 Years,
- 8. Dungar Singh S/o Sang Singh, Aged About 45 Years,
- 9. Bhura Ram S/o Bhim Singh, Aged About 30 Years,
- 10. Chuna Ram S/o Dewa Ram, Aged About 36 Years,
- 11. Hari Singh S/o Sajjan Singh, Aged About 48 Years,
 All are R/o Village Khinvsar, Panchayat Samiti Bhaniyana,
 District Jaisalmer (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus


- 1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Revenue Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. The Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 3. The District Collector, Jaisalmer.
- 4. Sub Division Officer, Bhaniyana, District Jaisalmer
- 5. Tehsildar, Bhaniyana, District Jaisalmer.
- 6. Gram Panchayat Khinvsar- Ii, Panchayat Samiti Bhaniyana, District Jaisalmer (Raj.), Through Its Village Development Officer.
- 7. Mahesh Kadwasra S/o Parma Ram, R/o Village Khinvsar, Panchayat Samiti Bhaniyana, District Jaisalmer (Raj.).

----Respondents

(344) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21547/2024

- Gayad Singh S/o Bhur Singh, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Balwant Singh Nagar Ki Dhaniya, Bugadi, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.
- 2. Bhani Ram @ Bhana Ram Suthar S/o Nenu Ram, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Villag Bugadi, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.
- 3. Bhoja Ram S/o Narana Ram, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Village Bugadi, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.
- 4. Arjun Singh S/o Chen Singh, Aged About 31 Years, R/o







Balwant Singh Nagar Ki Dhaniya, Bugadi, Panchayat Samiti Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.

----Petitioners

Versus



- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 2. The District Collector, Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- The Sub Divisional Officer, Tehsil Baap, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 4. Tehsildar, Tehsil Ghantiyali, District Phalodi.
- 5. Gram Panchayat Bugadi, Panchayat Samiti, Ghantiyali, District Phalodi, Through Its Village Development Officer.

----Respondents


(346) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 254/2025


- Ganga Singh S/o Shri Khet Dan, Aged About 72 Years, by Caste Charan, Resident Of Judiya, Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur.
- Gopa Ram S/o Shri Kana Ram, by Caste Suthar, Aged About 61 Years, Resident Of Judiya, Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur.
- 3. Ishwar Dan S/o Shri Devi Dan, Aged About 43 Years, Resident Of Judiya, Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department
 Of Revenue (Gr.i), Government Of Rajasthan,
 Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 3. Divisional Commissioner, Jodhpur.
- 4. District Collector (Land Record), Jodhpur Rural.
- 5. Sub Divisional Officer, Balesar District Jodhpur.
- 6. Tehsildar (Revenue), Balesar District Jodhpur.
- 7. Gram Panchayat Judiya, Panchayat Samiti Balesar,








District Jodhpur Through Sarpanch.

- 8. Laxman Singh, At Present Patwari, Patwar Halka Judiya Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur.
- 9. Shri Babu Singh Rathore, Mla, Shergarh District Jodhpur.


----Respondents


(347) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 484/2025



- Prema Ram S/o Shri Kishana Ram, Aged About 40 Years,
 R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur,
 Rajasthan.
- 3. Phusa Ram S/o Shri Hema Ram, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Bhoma Ram S/o Shri Bhaira Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 5. Bhanwara Ram S/o Shri Phusa Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Rawal Singh S/o Shri Roop Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- Hathi Singh S/o Shri Roop Singh, Aged About 20 Years,
 R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, Tehsil Sekhala,
 District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 8. Baga Ram S/o Shri Moda Ram, Aged About 69 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 9. Haraki W/o Shri Suja Ram, Aged About 69 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- Oma Ram S/o Shri Kana Ram, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 11. Svarupa Ram S/o Shri Chanana Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District











Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

- 12. Nand Kishor S/o Shri Chanana Ram, Aged About 50 Years, Bhalu Jawaharpura, Bhalu Rajwan, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 13. Jasraj Sharma S/o Shri Chanana Ram, Aged About 34 Years, Bhalu Rajwan, Bhalu Jawaharpura, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur. (Raj.)
- 2. The District Collector, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 3. The Tehsildar, Sekhala, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Ketu Mada, Panchayat Samiti Sekhala, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents

(349) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 499/2025

- 1. Himmat Singh S/o Shri Sumer Singh, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Manda, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 2. Shambhu Singh S/o Shri Bhawar Singh, Aged About 44 Years, Resident Of Ketu Manda, Balesar, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 3. Pravin Singh S/o Shri Sumer Singh, Aged About 21 Years, Resident Of Ketu Manda, Balesar, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Bhagwan Singh S/o Shri Bhanvar Singh, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Ketu Manda, Balesar, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 5. Kishan Singh S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh, Aged About 44 Years, Resident Of Jethu Singh Nagar, Ketu Manda, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Pappu Kanwar W/o Shri Tej Singh, Aged About 79 Years, Resident Of Khichiyo Ki Dhani, Ketoo Manda, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 7. Kan Singh S/o Shri Tej Singh, Aged About 28 Years, Resident Of Ketu Manda, Balesar, Ketu Kallan, District





Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

8. Suva Kanwar W/o Shri Sumer Singh, Aged About 62 Years, Resident Of Ketu Manda, Tehsil Balesar, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, (Raj.).
- 2. The District Collector, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 3. The Tehsildar, Sekhala, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Ketu Mada, Panchayat Samiti Sekhala, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents

(350) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 511/2025

- 1. Sagat Singh S/o Shri Pane Singh, Aged About 74 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Kalan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 2. Madan Singh S/o Shri Chain Singh, Aged About 32 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Kalan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 3. Rukhmanikaur W/o Shri Bheem Singh Rathore, Aged About 67 Years, Resident Of Mod Ji Ki Dhani, Ketoo Kallan, Sekhala, Balesar, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Jaswant Singh S/o Shri Sangh Singh, Aged About 41 Years, Resident Of Khet Manda, Ketu Mada, Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 5. Bhawani Singh S/o Shri Sang Singh, Aged About 32 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Bhom Singh Rathore S/o Shri Sang Singh Rathore, Aged About 49 Years, Resident Of Jethu Singh Nagar, Ketoo Manda, Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 7. Shambhu Singh S/o Shri Sang Singh, Aged About 44 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Manda, Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 8. Ganga Singh S/o Shri Chain Singh, Aged About 48 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 9. Sugan Kanwar W/o Shri Chain Singh, Aged About 64 Years, Resident Of Khet Nagar, Ketoo Kalan, District





Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

- Asha Kanwar W/o Shri Kishan Singh, Aged About 33
 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Kalan, District Jodhpur,
 Rajasthan.
- 11. Kan Singh S/o Shri Kalyan Singh, Aged About 57 Years, Resident Of 513, Karan Singh Hirot, Shergarh, Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 12. Kishan Singh S/o Shri Sagat Singh, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 13. Deva Ram S/o Shri Sanga Ram Suthar, Aged About 69 Years, Resident Of 37, Jethu Singh Nagar, Ketoo Manda, Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 14. Basti Devi W/o Shri Deva Ram, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Sutharo Ki Dhani, Ketoo Manda, Ketu Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 15. Suaa Devi W/o Shri Ganapat Ram, Aged About 48 Years, Resident Of Gehloto Ki Dhani, Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 16. Gopal Singh S/o Shri Chain Singh, Aged About 32 Years, Resident Of Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 17. Durga Kanwar W/o Shri Raju Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Resident Of Jethu Singh Nagar, Ketu Manda, Ketoo Kallan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 18. Bhik Singh S/o Shri Hukam Singh, Aged About 65 Years, Resident Of Ravo Ki Dhani, Ketu Manawata, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 19. Maju Kanwar W/o Shri Sangh Singh, Aged About 75 Years, Resident Of Ketu Kallan, Mod Ji Ki Dhani, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, (Raj.).
- 2. The District Collector, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 3. The Tehsildar, Sekhala, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Ketu Mada, Panchayat Samiti



(20 of 41)



Sekhala, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents

(352) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1121/2025

- Mangilal S/o Shri Khiya Ram, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar Dugar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- Shekhar Chand S/o Hadman Ram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar Dugar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- Vikram S/o Shri Chuna Ram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar Dugar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 4. Bhagirath Ram S/o Shri Hajari Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar Dugar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 5. Hanuman Ram S/o Shri Bagata Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar Dugar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. District Collector, Jodhpur.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Balesar, Jodhpur.
- 4. Tehsildar, Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 5. Gram Panchayat Ramsar, Panchayat Samiti Balesar, District Jodhpur Through Its Village Development Officer.

----Respondents

(353) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1122/2025

- 1. Bagadu Ram S/o Shri Pahalad Ram, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 2. Papa Ram S/o Haru Ram, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 3. Bhajan Lal S/o Narsingh Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar, Dugar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur







(Raj.).

- 4. Kalu Ram S/o Pokar Ram, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 5. Sharwan Ram S/o Karana Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 6. Bhanwar Lal S/o Khiya Ram, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Vishnu Nagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. District Collector, Jodhpur.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Balesar, Jodhpur.
- 4. Tehsildar, Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 5. Gram Panchayat Dugar, Panchayat Samiti Balesar, District Jodhpur, Through Its Village Development Officer.

----Respondents

(354) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1302/2025

- 1. Omaram S/o Shri Chandraram, Aged About 44 Years,
- 2. Ridmalram S/o Rangaram, Aged About 62 Years,
- 3. Thanaram S/o Chunaram, Aged About 45 Years,
- 4. Heeraaram S/o Shri Achlaram, Aged About 35 Years,
- 5. Sheraram S/o Shri Pahladram, Aged About 25 Years,
 All Residents Of Bhalu Anopgarh, Tehsil Shekhala,
 District Jodhpur (Raj.).


----Petitioners

Versus

- State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Revenue (Group-I) Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
- 2. The District Collector, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Balesar, District Jodhpur (Rural) (Raj.).
- 4. Tehsildar (Land Record), Sekhala, District Jodhpur (Raj.).







----Respondents

(355) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1343/2025

- 1. Sangaram S/o Shri Piparam, Aged About 32 Years,
- 2. Nakhtaram S/o Shri Hamiraram, Aged About 45 Years,
- 3. Fusaram S/o Punaram, Aged About 60 Years,
- 4. Ambaram S/o Shri Gorathram, Aged About 30 Years,
- Nimbaram S/o Shri Ramuram, Aged About 28 Years,
 All Resident Of Rankeshwar Nagar, Tehsil Shekhala,
 District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

- State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Revenue (Group Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
- 2. The District Collector, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Balesar, District Jodhpur (Rural) (Raj.)
- 4. Tehsildar (Land Record), Sekhala, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Bhalu Anoopgarh, Panchayat Samiti Sekhala, District Jodhpur (Raj.)

----Respondents

(356) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1350/2025

- Dungar Singh S/o Shri Jethu Singh, by Caste Rajput, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Village Khirja Bhoja, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 2. Khushal Singh S/o Shri Kan Singh, by Caste Rajput, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Village Khirja Bhoja, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 3. Babu Ram S/o Shri Shambhu Ram, by Caste Prajapat, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Village Khirja Bhoja, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 4. Jethu Singh S/o Shri Jagmal Singh, by Caste Rajput, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Village Khirja Bhoja, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).









5. Lakha Ram S/o Shri Naga Ram, by Caste Prajapt, Aged About 61 Years, R/o Village Khirja Bhoja, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

6. Bhanwar Singh S/o Shri Heer Singh, by Caste Rajput, Aged About 67 Years, R/o Village Khirja Bhoja, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Special Secretary, Revenue Department (Group-1), Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
- 2. The Registrar, Board Of Revenue, Rajasthan, Ajmer (Raj.).
- 3. The District Collector, (Land Records), Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 4. The Tehsildar (Land Records), Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 5. The Gram Panchayat, Khirja Fatehsingh, Through The Village Development Officer, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
- 6. The Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Khirja, Fatehsingh, Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Respondents

(358) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1430/2025

- 1. Champa Lal Singh S/o Late Shri Ran Singh, Aged About 50 Years,
- Laxman Singh S/o Late Shri Ramlal Singh, Aged About
 70 Years,
- 3. Amolak Das S/o Late Shri Poonam Das, Aged About 55 Years,
- 4. Pukh Singh S/o Late Shri Man Singh, Aged About 45 Years,
- 5. Manohar Singh S/o Shri Arjun Singh, Aged About 35 Years,
- 6. Khet Singh S/o Shri Kalyan Singh, Aged About 40 Years,
- 7. Vijay Singh S/o Shri Roop Singh, Aged About 35 Years,
- 8. Kanwar Singh S/o Shri Karan Singh, Aged About 42





Years,

- 9. Moola Ram S/o Late Shri Puna Ram, Aged About 50 Years,
- 10. Pappu Singh S/o Shri Madho Singh, Aged About 40 Years,
- 11. Baludas S/o Shri Jagdish Prasad, Aged About 40 Years,
- 12. Mangi Lal S/o Shri Nawaldas, Aged About 45 Years,
- 13. Chhoga Ram S/o Dhuda Ram, Aged About 50 Years,
- 14. Bhom Singh S/o Shri Bheru Singh, Aged About 45 Years, Petitioner No. 11 to 14 R/o Santo Ki Dhani, Bhatelai Purohitan, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 2. The District Collector, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Tehsildar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 5. Gram Panchayat Bhatelai Purohitan, Panchayat Samiti, Balesar, District Jodhpur Through Its Secretary.
- 6. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Bhatelai Purohitan, Panchayat Samiti, Balesar, District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

(359) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1902/2025

Jethi Devi W/o Deva Ram, Aged About 70 Years, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Meethi Beri, Tehsil Mokhada, District Barmer (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary To The Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Officer, Gudamalani, District Barmer.







----Respondents

(360) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2387/2025

- 1. Sumer Singh S/o Prem Singh, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Premsagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 2. Tej Singh S/o Prem Singh, Aged About 65 Years, R/o 202- Panwaron Ki Dhani, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 3. Ganga Singh S/o Prem Singh, Aged About 72 Years, R/o Premsagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 4. Shiv Singh S/o Deep Singh, Aged About 72 Years, R/o Premsagar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 5. Rawal Singh S/o Aam Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Premsagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Bhawani Singh S/o Ganga Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Premsagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 7. Hathi Singh S/o Sumer Singh, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Premsagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 8. Kan Singh S/o Ganga Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Premsagar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 9. Jaswant Singh S/o Ganga Singh, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Gali No.7, Shikshak Colony, Chopasani School Ke Samne, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- Devi Singh S/o Khushala Singh, Aged About 52 Years,
 R/o Premsagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur. (Raj.)
- 2. The District Collector, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 3. The Tehsildar, Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Gajesingh Nagar, Panchayat Samiti Shergarh, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents

(361) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2392/2025

1. Rajendra Singh S/o Anop Singh, Aged About 35 Years,







R/o Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

- 2. Gayad Singh Rathore S/o Gaje Singh, Aged About 66 Years, R/o Kamandar House, Rajendra Nagar, Gajesingh Nagar, Gajesinghnagar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 3. Bhairu Singh Rathore S/o Balwant Singh Rathore, Aged About 64 Years, R/o Rajendra Nagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- Narpat Singh S/o Balwant Singh Rathore, Aged About 73
 Years, R/o Rajendra Nagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur,
 Rajasthan.
- 5. Chain Singh S/o Gaje Singh, Aged About 61 Years, R/o Rajendra Nagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 6. Samandar Singh S/o Narpat Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Rajendra Nagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 7. Ganpat Singh S/o Anop Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Rajendra Nagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 8. Omkaran Singh Rathore S/o Gayad Singh Rathore, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Gajesingh Nagar, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- 9. Jai Pal Singh S/o Mangal Singh Rathore, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Sirlo Ka Bas, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
- Chaina Ram S/o Hanumana Ram, Aged About 28 Years,
 R/o Naiyo Ka Bas, Bhoongra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur. (Raj.)
- 2. The District Collector, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 3. The Tehsildar, Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.)
- 4. The Gram Panchayat Gajesingh Nagar, Panchayat Samiti Shergarh, District Jodhpur Through Its Sarpanch.

----Respondents

(362) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2467/2025

- 1. Abdul Gafoor S/o Mainde Khan, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Jagriya, Mohra, Jodhpur, Mohri, Rajasthan-342301
- 2. Ram Singh S/o Mool Singh, Aged About 75 Years, R/o 95, Jagriya, Mohra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342301.




VERDICTUM.IN

(27 of 41)

[2025:RJ-JD:9746]







- 4. Darbar Singh S/o Prem Singh, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Phoji Ki Dhani, Jagriya, Bawri Kalla, Mohra, Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342301.
- Mohammad Yasin S/o Aam Din, Aged About 34 Years,
 R/o Jagriya, Baori Kalan, Phalodi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342301.

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 2. The District Collector, Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Tehsil Phalodi, District Phalodi, Rajasthan.
- 4. Tehsildar, Tehsil Phalodi, District Phalodi.
- 5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Jagriya, Panchayat Samiti Phalodi, District Phalodi.

----Respondents

(363) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2476/2025

- 1. Dinesh Sharma S/o Shri Vijay Lal, Aged About 25 Years,
- 2. Amba Lal S/o Shri Govind Ram, Aged About 54 Years,
- 3. Vishana Ram S/o Shri Amba Lal, Aged About 31 Years,
- 4. Ram Chandra S/o Shri Sukha Ram, Aged About 69 Years,
- 5. Rawal Ram S/o Babulal, Aged About 32 Years,
- 6. Prakash Chandra S/o Baga Ram, Aged About 28 Years,
- 7. Mangi Lal S/o Purkha Ram, Aged About 58 Years,
- 8. Jasa Ram S/o Hari Ram, Aged About 71 Years,
- 9. Jagdish Prasad S/o Harji Ram, Aged About 59 Years,
- 10. Arjun S/o Vijay Lal, Aged About 32 Years,
- 11. Mahendra Sharma S/o Ram Chandra, Aged About 34 Years,
- 12. Rupa Ram S/o Jasa Ram, Aged About 33 Years,
 All are Resident Of Village Jinjinyala Govinda, Gram





Panchayat Jinjinyala Kalan, Panchayat Samiti Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus



- The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Revenue Department (Group-1), Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. The Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 3. The District Collector, Jodhpur.
- 4. Sub Division Officer, Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 5. Tehsildar, Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 6. Gram Panchayat, Jinjinyala Kalan, Panchayat Samiti Balesar, District Jodhpur (Raj.), Through Its Village Development Officer.
- 7. Bhanwar Lal S/o Shri Bhinya Ram, R/o Brahmano Ki Dhaniya, Jinjinyala Govinda, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

(365) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2715/2025

- Jugata Ram S/o Shri Laxman Ram, Aged About 24 Years,
 R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 2. Aaidan Ram S/o Dharma Ram, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Rabariyo Ka Bas Payla Khurd, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 3. Hari Ram S/o Dharma Ram, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 4. Luna Ram S/o Sawal Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 5. Thana Ram S/o Jhaunjar Ram, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 6. Laxman Ram S/o Raju Ram, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 7. Maga Ram S/o Hari Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Rabariyo Ki Dhani, Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 8. Joga Ram S/o Pehlad Ram, Aged About 20 Years, R/o





Raiko Ki Dhani, Nimbali, Payla Khurd, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).

- 9. Pahalad Ram S/o Virdha Ram, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 10. Babu Ram S/o Fuaa Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 11. Kesha Ram S/o Tilla Ram, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Godaro Ki Dhani, Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 12. Bhura Ram S/o Tila Ram, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 13. Achala Ram S/o Jetha Ram, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Godaro Ki Dhani, Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 14. Ghamanda Ram S/o Vagta Ram, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Godaro Ki Dhani, Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 15. Shrawan Ram S/o Jetha Ram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 16. Jetha Ram S/o Purkha Ram, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Godaro Ki Dhani, Panyia Khurd, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).
- 17. Sukhdev S/o Kesha Ram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Godaro Ki Dhani, Nimbali, Tehsil Sindhari, District Balotra (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. District Collector, Balotra.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Balotra, Jodhpur.
- 4. Tehsildar, Sindhari, District Balotra.
- 5. Gram Panchayat Ramdevra, Panchayat Samiti Payla Kalan, District Balotra Through Its Village Development Officer.

----Respondents



(30 of 41)




(366) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2748/2025


- Sumera Ram S/o Shri Sagta Ram, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of Balesar Durgawata, Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur.
- Dalpat Singh S/o Shri Rewant Singh, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- Ashok Kumar S/o Shri Bhinya Ram, Aged About 31
 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District
 Jodhpur.
- 4. Raju Ram S/o Shri Ranchora Ram, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 5. Madho Singh S/o Shri Khushal Singh, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 6. Jeeya Ram S/o Shri Mehra Ram, Aged About 54 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 7. Paras Ram S/o Shri Mehra Ram, Aged About 51 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 8. Pepa Ram S/o Shri Mangna Ram, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Balesar Durgawata, Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur.
- 9. Narpat Ram S/o Shri Mala Ram, Aged About 51 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 10. Jhumar Ram S/o Shri Surta Ram, Aged About 47 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 11. Nema Ram S/o Shri Mehra Ram, Aged About 71 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 12. Gunesha Ram S/o Shri Basta Ram, Aged About 83 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 13. Chetan Ram S/o Shri Moola Ram, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Padejiro Ka Bera, Balesar Durgawata Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur.
- 14. Santosh Kumar S/o Shri Mangi Lal, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Devnagar, Tehsil Balesar, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus









- The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department
 Of Revenue (Gr. I), Government Of Rajasthan,
 Secretariat, Jaipur.
- Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 3. Divisional Commissioner, Jodhpur.
- 4. District Collector (Land Record), Jodhpur Rural
- 5. Sub Divisional Officer Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 6. Tehsildar (Revenue), Balesar, District Jodhpur.
- 7. Gram Panchayat Judiya, Panchayat Samiti Balesar, District Jodhpur Through Sarpanch.
- 8. Laxman Singh, At Present Patwari, Patwar Halka Judiya Tehsil Balesar District Jodhpur. At Present Patwar Halka Doli, Tehsil Luni District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

(369) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3100/2025

- 1. Ishwar Singh S/o Shri Gulab Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Kubadiya, Tehsil Gadra Road, District Barmer (Raj.).
- Dan Singh S/o Shri Arjun Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Kubadiya, Tehsil Gadra Road, District Barmer (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

- The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Gadra Road, Barmer.
- 4. Tehsildar, Gadra Road, District Barmer.
- 5. Gram Panchayat Girab, Panchayat Samiti Gadra Road, District Barmer Through Its Village Development Officer, Dist. Barmer.

----Respondents

(404) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2722/2025



Gunesha Ram S/o Shri Goma Ram, Aged About 50 Years, Resident Of Village Sodho Ki Dhani Gram Panchayat Ratanpura Tehsiol Gudamalani District Barmer

----Petitioner



Versus

- State Of Rajasthan-State, Through Its Special Government Secretary (Groutp-1) Department Of Revenue, Govt. Rajasthan Jaipur
- 2. The Regisrar, Board Of Revenue Ajmer
- 3. The District Collector, Barmer
- 4. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Gudamalani District Barmer
- 5. The Tehsildar, Gudamalani District Barmer

----Respondents

(414) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4089/2025

Omprakash S/o Samela Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Gram Loharwa, Tehsil Dhorimanna, District Barmer.

----Petitioner

Versus

- 1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Revenue Department, Jaipur
- 2. District Collector, Barmer.
- 3. Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Division Dhorimanna District Barmer.
- 4. Tehsildar Dhorimanna, District Barmer
- 5. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Loharwa, Panchayat Samiti Dhorimanna, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(416) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4409/2025

Ashok Kumar S/o Sh. Ramchandra, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of Janiyon Ki Basti, Tehsil Dhanau, District Barmer.

----Petitioner





- State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Special Government 1. Secretary (Group-1), Department Of Revenue, Govt. Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- The Registrar, Board Of Revenue, Ajmer. 2.
- 3. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 4. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Chauhtan, Barmer.
- 5. The Tehsildar Dhanau, District Barmer.

----Respondents

(429) S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4230/2025

- 1. Goga Ram S/o Keshra Ram Choudhary, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ramaniyo Ki Dhani, Peepli Beri, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
- 2. Ramesh S/o Hansa Ram, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Laxmansingh Ki Dhani, Peepli Beri, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
- 3. Rugnath Ram S/o Daluram Choudhary, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ajitaram Ki Beri, Peepli Beri, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
- Deva Ra S/o Kheema Ram, Aged About 43 Years, R/o 4. Peepli Beri, District Barmer, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

- 1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Special Secretary To Government, Department Of Revenue (Group - I), Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2. Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sedwa, District Barmer.
- 4. The Tehsildar, Sedwa, District Barmer.
- 5. The District Collector, Barmer.
- 6. The Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat Bamadla, Tehsil Sedwa, District Barmer.



[2025:RJ-JD:9746] (34 of 4



(34 of 41)

7. The Gram Panchayat Bamadla, Panchayat Samiti Sedwa, District Barmer Through Sarpanch.

----Respondents



For Petitioner(s) : Mr. D.L.R.Vyas.

Mr. DS Udawat Mr. Sunil Beniwal Mr. Ramavtar Singh

Mr. AR Godara Mr. Ram Dayal

Mr.Mr. Shailendra Gwala

Mr.Ramesh Siyag

Mr. Sumer Singh Rathore Mr. Shreyansh Mardia Mr. Akshya Kumar Surana

Mr. Trilok Singh Mr. RJ Punia

Mr. Ratish Bhagnagar Ms. Laxmi Rathore Mr. Rajesh Bhargava Mr. Manohar Singh Mr. Vipin Makkad Mr. Kunal Upadhyay Mr.Rishabh Tayal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S.S.Ladrecha, AAG

Mr. Manish Patel.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINIT KUMAR MATHUR


<u>Judgment</u>

REPORTABLE

18/02/2025

- 1. The present batch of writ petitions is being heard and decided together as they arise out of common grievance. However, for brevity, the facts of SBCWP No.3470/2025 are taken into consideration for deciding the controversy in the present batch of writ petitions.
- 2. So far as the writ petition No.3470/2025 is concerned, the same has been filed for quashing the notification dated 20.1.2025 issued by the State Government for creation of new revenue

(35 of 41)



village "Gogaji Ki Jaal" in District Barmer. The other writ petitions have been preferred challenging the similar notifications and the proposals for creation of new villages sent by the Panchayat Samities concerned in violation of Clause 4 of the Circular dated 20.8.2009.

- Briefly stated facts necessary to be noted in SBCWP 3. No.3470/2025 are that Vikas Adhikari of Goliya Jethmal Adel, District Barmer sent a proposal for creation of new village "Gogaji Ki Jaal" in Panchayat Samiti Aadel, District Barmer. The proposal for the same was taken in the meeting held on 30.9.2024. The proposal was accompanied by a "No Objection Certificate" (hereinafter referred to as 'the NOC') issued by the Gram Panchayat. The proposal received from the Gram Panchayat was examined by the Tehsildar concerned by conducting a preliminary inquiry and, thereafter, a report was submitted before the SDO, In the said report, the recommendation was made for creation of the new village as proposed by the Tehsildar. When the fact of creation of new village came to the knowledge of the the villagers made a representation before the competent authorities of the State Government alleging that the said proposal was against the guidelines issued by the State Government vide its circular dated 20.8.2009. However, the State Government had issued notification on 20.1.2025 notifying the creation of revenue village "Gogaji Ki Jaal", hence, the present writ petition has been filed.
- 4. Similarly, in other writ petitions, either the notification has been issued or the proposal for creation of new villages are in the

VERDICTUM.IN

[2025:RJ-JD:9746]



pipeline and the representations had been made to the effect that the creation of such villages is in violation of clause 4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009.

- 5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that although the State Government had the power to create new villages as per Section 16 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act, 1956, but vide circular dated 20.8.2009 certain guidelines have been issued for creation of such villages. In the present case, clause 4 of the guidelines has been violated as the name of the village is on a deity of a particular caste. Similarly in other cases, notification had been issued or the proposals for creation of the villages in the name of some person, caste, sub-caste or religion had been sent and, therefore, the same is in utter violation of clause 4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009.
- 6. Learned counsel submits that the names of the newly created villages cannot be named in violation of clause 4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009. He submits that when in certain cases the representation was made to the District Collector, Jodhpur, the District Collector, Jodhpur examined the matter and issued guidelines vide his order dated 10.2.2025 to name the villages in consonance with the provisions mentioned in the circular dated 20.8.2009. On the same line, the Board of Revenue has also issued an order dated 10.2.2025 addressed to Collector, Barmer.
- 7. Learned counsel further submits that similarly in other cases where the notification has not been issued, the proposals are in process giving names to the newly created revenue villages in utter violation of clause 4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009. It is,

(37 of 41)



therefore, prayed that the notification dated 20.1.2025 and other similar notifications and proposals for creation of new villages in violation of clause 4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009 may be quashed and set aside.

- Per contra Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, learned Addl. Advocate General 8. appearing for the respondent-State submits before this Court that clause no.4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009 has been amended by the State Government by issuing the circular dated 17.2.2025. A copy of the same is taken on record. Learned AAG submits that the State Government also received a number of representations and after re-examination of the entire matter, the State Government has felt advised modify/amend the to condition/clause 4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009 by issuing a circular dated 17.2.2025. Learned AAG, in these circumstances, very fairly submits that the Government is prepared to undertake its exercise afresh for creation of the newly created revenue villages in the light of circular dated 17.2.2025. He, therefore, prays that the respondents may be given some more time to undertake such exercise afresh.
- 9. I have considered the submissions made at the bar and gone through the relevant record of the case including the circular dated 17.02.2025 issued by the State Government amending clause 4 of the Circular dated 20.08.2009.
- 10. Section 16 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act is reproduced as under:
 - "16. Power to create, abolish or alter divisions etc. The State Government may be notification in the [official Gazette]-

VERDICTUM.IN

AN HIG

[2025:RJ-JD:9746] (38 of 41)



(38 of 41) [CW-3470/2025]

- (a) create new or abolish existing [divisions] districts, sub-divisions, tehsils and [subtehsils, villages], and
- (b) alter the limits of any of them.
- 11. For brevity Clause 4 of the Circular dated 20.08.2009 reads as under:

"नवीन राजस्व ग्राम प्रस्तावित करते समय उसके नाम का प्रस्ताव भी भिजवाया जाये। नाम का निर्धारण करते समय यह सुनिश्चित किया जाये कि ग्राम का नाम किसी व्यक्तिए धर्मए जाति अथवा उप जाति के आधार पर नहीं हो। जहां तक सम्भव होए ग्राम का नाम आम सहमति से प्रस्तावित किया जाये।

12. The amendment made in clause 4 of the Circular 20.08.2009 vide circular dated 17.02.2025 issued by the State Government reads as under:-

अतः नवीन राजस्व ग्राम घोषित करने के संबंध में विभाग के परिपत्र दिनांक 20.08.2009 के बिन्दु संख्या-4 में निम्नानुसार संशोधन किया जाता है:-

"प्रस्तावित राजस्व ग्राम के नाम का चयन स्थानीय ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा सर्वसम्मित से निर्णय पारित कर ग्राम सभा का सहमित प्रस्ताव एवं ग्राम पंचायत की अनापित प्रमाण-पत्र नवीन ग्राम के प्रस्ताव में आवश्यक रूप से संलग्न प्रेषित किया जावे। ग्राम का नाम पूर्व प्रचित ढाणियों के नाम अनुसार/आमजन में अभ्यस्त नाम/शहीद (प्रमाणित दस्तावेज की प्रति), महापुरूष आदि के नाम पर स्थानीय जनभावना को ध्यान में रखते हुए प्रस्तावित किये जावें। यथा संभव प्रस्तावित ग्राम का नाम व्यक्ति, धर्म, जाति अथवा उपजाति के आधार पर नहीं हो। ग्रामों के नाम का चयन करते समय इस बात का ध्यान रखा जाना आवश्यक है कि मूल तहसील में उसी नाम का कोई ग्राम या कस्बा आदि न हो, जिससे आमजन में भ्रांति की स्थित उत्पन्न न हो।

बिन्दु संख्या 4 के अतिरिक्त परिपत्र दिनांक 20.08.2009 में उल्लेखित अन्य मानदण्ड यधावत रहेंगे।"

AN HIG

[2025:RJ-JD:9746] (39 of 41)



[CW-3470/2025]

- 13. Section 16 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act gives power to the State Government for creation of new villages in State of Rajasthan while undertaking the exercise for creation of new villages. For this purpose, the parameters/guidelines mentioned in the circular dated 20.8.2009 are required to be adhered to by the State Government.
- 14. As per the amended Clause 4, the parameters required for giving the name to the newly created revenue village has been mentioned. There are certain restrictions, which have been imposed keeping in mind the ethos of democracy and larger public interest. Although, this Court takes note of the fact that amended clause 4 has been passed in liberalized form though the sanctity of checks and balances have been mentioned.
- 15. Perusal of amended Clause 4 clearly shows that the State Government has modified the earlier clause 4 of the circular dated 20.08.2009 vide its circular dated 17.02.2025 and Gram Panchayats have now been directed to get a resolution passed by majority in the Gram Sabha and that proposal is required to be sent to the State Government. A bare reading of the provision stated above shows that a newly created village should not be named after any person, caste, sub-caste or religion and in the present batch of writ petition it is named after a person, caste and sub-caste. "Gogaji" is a local deity worshiped by a particular community.
- 16. In the considered opinion of this Court, there is a purpose and intention to incorporate clause 4 in the terms that no particular person, caste, sub-caste or religion should be given

VERDICTUM.IN

[2025:RJ-JD:9746] (40 of 41) [CW-3470/2025]

undue advantage disturbing the communal harmony in the society.

17. As it has been noted that the proposal sent to the State Government was in utter violation of circular dated 20.8.2009, the Collector, Jodhpur vide his letter dated 10.2.2025 had taken note of the same and issued guidelines for sending the proposal in conformity with the condition/clause mentioned in circular dated 20.8.2009. On the similar line, the Board of Revenue has also issued letter dated 10.2.2025 to District Collector, Barmer. The State Government has also received some representations wherein the same grievances were raised. Taking into consideration all these facts, the State Government brought amendment in circular dated 20.8,2009. Since the learned AAG has already submitted before this Court that the State Government has issued an amendment in clause 4 by circular dated 17.2.2025, this Court is of the considered view that the notification issued by the State Government dated 20.1.2025 is in violation of clause 4 of the circular dated 20.8.2009. Similarly, all such notifications akin to notification dated 20.1.2025 issued by the State Government, which are subject matter in other writ petitions filed before this Court are also dehors the provisions of clause 4 of circular dated 20.8.2009.

18. In view of the discussion made above, the present writ petition and the batch of writ petitions are disposed of by quashing and setting aside the notification dated 20.1.2025 and other similar notifications issued by the State Government. The State Government is directed to initiate fresh process for creation

VERDICTUM.IN



[2025:RJ-JD:9746] (41 of 41) [CW-3470/2025]

of new revenue villages adhering to the parameters/guidelines mentioned in the circulars dated 20.8.2009 and 17.2.2025.

- 19. The directions issued hereinabove shall also be made applicable for the cases in which the notification for creation of new villages has not been issued and the same are in process.
- 20. The order passed by this Court will be made applicable only qua the petitioners, who have approached this Court.
- 21. All the pending interlocutory applications as well as the stay applications also stand disposed of accordingly.
- 22. Office is directed to keep photostat copy of this order in each of the aforesaid writ petitions.

(VINIT KUMAR MATHUR),J

3-Anil Singh/-

(Downloaded on 01/03/2025 at 04:27:13 PM)