

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.5826-5827/2019

MATHALA CHANDRAPATI RAO

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the appellant-in-person and the learned counsel representing various states and other respondents.

These appeals take exception to the order dated 14.08.2018 passed by the Nation Green Tribunal¹

The appellant made an application before the Tribunal containing following prayers:

1. Direct the respondents to immediately take steps to save and conserve critically endangered indigenous species of livestock; and
2. Direct the respondents to immediately take preventive steps to contain the decline of indigenous breeds/species of cattle in India and effectively implement Rashtriya Gokul Mission; and
3. Direct the respondents to ensure that promotion of cross-breeding and breeding with exotic breeds of cattle in India is regulated with

¹ Tribunal

minimum interference and disease exposure risk to the indigenous species of cattle; and

4. Direct respondents to take necessary steps to ensure that milch cattle of indigenous breeds are not slaughtered; and

5. Direct the respondents to take necessary steps to carry out research in improving milk yield of indigenous cattle without restoring to cross-breeding;"

While passing the impugned order, the NGT has referred to National Livestock Policy, 2013². The NGT has also recorded that some of the States have their own anti-slaughtering laws and none of the States are opposing the idea of protecting the indigenous cows. In fact, the Tribunal notes that the States have filed their affidavits putting on record the steps taken by them.

The Tribunal has also extensively referred to the proceedings of meeting dated 28.06.2013. It was a joint meeting of all stakeholders to discuss on formulation of a National Policy in regard to protection of indigenous species of cows and livestock. In fact, it is mentioned in the minutes that there is an increase in the population of the cows. It also notes that the Policy of the Government of India is to develop indigenous species of cows. Considering the

common stand taken by the Union of India as well as by all the States as regards the protection of indigenous cows, the Tribunal felt that no further directions were required.

After notice was issued by this Court, the States have filed a response on record. They have highlighted the steps taken by the respective State Governments in the matter of protection of indigenous cows.

The appellant appearing in-person has now filed a rejoinder affidavit dealing with all the affidavits on record. He has referred to the decision of the Constitution Bench in the State of Gujarat vs. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi, Moti Kasab Jamat and Others, etc.³. Ultimately the prayer made in the rejoinder is that this Court may issue a direction to prohibit the slaughter of cow progeny. His submission is that if such a direction is issued, benefit thereof will be extended to a large population of India.

The Tribunal after dealing with the grievance of the appellant has come to the conclusion that no specific direction was required to be issued.

Now, what is observed by the Tribunal is now supported by the stand taken by various State Governments on the steps taken by them.

Now, what remains is the prayer made by the appellant regarding prohibiting slaughter of cow progeny. We may observe that this is something which is for the competent legislature to decide. Even in writ jurisdiction, this Court cannot compel legislature to come out with a particular legislation. Ultimately, it is for the appellant to persuade the legislature.

After having perused the impugned order and various facts on record, we find that no further directions are required to be issued in these appeals. As far as prayer 1 quoted above is concerned, it will be open for the appellant to make a representation to the concerned State Governments.

The appeals are accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.
[ABHAY S. OKA]

.....J.
[SANJAY KAROL]

New Delhi
July 11, 2023

ITEM NO.37

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 5826-5827/2019

MATHALA CHANDRAPATI RAO

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 132972/2020 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION
IA No. 48034/2019 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION
IA No. 127196/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 124739/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT
IA No. 132976/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 48031/2019 - PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

Date : 11-07-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

For Appellant(s) Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.M Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mrs. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv.
Mr. Navanjay Mahapatra, Adv.
Mrs. Deepabali Dutta, Adv.
Mr. Sidhant Kohli, Adv.
Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. K. M. Nataraj, A.S.G.
Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv.
Mr. Navanjay Mahapatra, Adv.
Ms. Deepabali Dutta, Adv.
Mr. Siddhant Kohli, Adv.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Krishnan, AOR
Mr. Gowtham Polanki, Adv.

VERDICTUM.IN

6

Mr. Girish Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Sheikh Mohammed Haneef, Adv.
Ms. Deepshikha Sansanwal, Adv.

Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR
Ms. Shreya Nair, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D. K. Devesh, AOR
Ms. Snehal Uday Kanzarkar, Adv.
Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shailja Nanda Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv.
Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
Ms. Devyani Bhatt, Adv.

Mr. B.K. Satija, A.A.G.
Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR
Mr. Keshav Mittal, Adv.
Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.

Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, AOR
Mr. Vaibhav Sabharwal, Adv.
Mr. Akshay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. C. K. Sasi, AOR
Ms. Meena K Poulouse, Adv.

Mr. Veer Vikrant Singh, D.A.G.
Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR
Mr. Rajesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR
Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.
Mr. T.k. Nayak, Adv.
Ms. Rekha Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.

Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.
Mr. Nihar Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Ms. Limayinla Jamir, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.
Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.

Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR
Ms Shrutee Aradhana, Adv.

Mr. Narendra Kumar, AOR
Mr. Raghvendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Anand Kumar Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Jainendra Kumar Ojha, Adv.

Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., AOR

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR
Mr. Sai Shashank, Adv.
Mr. Deepayan Dutta, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Raizada, A.A.G.
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Prajapati, Adv.
Mr. Ashiwan Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Chandni Arora, Adv.
Mr. Kamendra Mishra, AOR
Ms. Rachana Chakrawarti, Adv.

Ms. Madhumita Bhattacharjee, AOR
Ms. Urmila Kar Purkayastha, Adv.
Ms. Srija Choudhury, Adv.
Mr. Anant, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR
Mr. Shailendra P. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Shivhare, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Atrey, AOR
Mr. Ravindra Lokhande, Adv.
Ms. Vidyottma Jha, Adv.
Dr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.

M/S. Venkat Palwai Law Associates, AOR

Mr. V K Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Abhaya Nath Das, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Verma, Adv.
Ms. Riya Soni, Adv.
Mr. S S Bandyopadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sunny Vashist, Adv.
Mr. Deepak Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Arina Bhattacharjee, Adv.
Mr. Satish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, AOR
Mr. Aniruddha Purushotham, Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Panda, Adv.

Ms. Purnima Krishna, AOR
Mr. M. F. Philip, Adv.
Mr. Karamveer Singh Yadav, Adv.

Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, AOR
Mr. Vikas Negi, Adv.
Mr. Debasis Sundaray, Adv.

Mrs. Rachna Gupta, AOR
Mr. Yogendra Pal Singh, Adv.
Ms. Purnima Jain, Adv.
Ms. Charu Singhal, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Vasudev, Sr. Adv.
Mr. T. V. S. Raghavendra Sreyas, AOR

Mr. Avijit Roy, AOR

Mrs. Yogmaya Agnihotri,, Adv.
Mr. Rohit K. Singh, AOR

Ms. Ruchira Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shishir Deshpande, AOR
Ms. Pooja Tripathi, Adv.
Ms. Harshita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Deep Narayan Sarkar, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR
Mr. Pradeep Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Lal Pratap Singh, Adv.

VERDICTUM.IN

9

Mr. Umesh Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Bhaskar Aditya, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Subhro Sanyal, AOR
Mr. Sagar Roy, Adv.
Mr. Mahendra Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Lamba, Adv.
Mr. Krishan Gopal Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Sushma, Adv.
Mr. Amar Shahbal Ansari, Adv.
Mr. Amar Kr. Raizada, Adv.
Mr. B.J. Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh, Adv.
Ms. Tulika Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.

Mr. Jogy Scaria, AOR
Ms. Beena Victor, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Guruprasad Ballekere, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Lomod, Adv.
Mr. Keerthipriyan E, Adv.
Ms. M Priya, Adv.
Ms. Varsha Awana, Adv.

Mr. Raghav Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Salvador Santosh Rebello, AOR

Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, AOR
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Nautiyal, Adv.

Mr. S. K. Verma, AOR
Mr. Mahesh Kasana, Adv.
Ms. Aparna Rohatgi, Adv.

Dr. Joseph Aristotle S., AOR

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR
Mr. Daleep Dhyani, Adv.
Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.
Mr. Bhuwan Chandra, Adv.

Mr. Sriharsha Peechara, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi, Adv.
Mr. Duvvuri Subrahmanya Bhanu, Adv.

Ms. Kirti Sinha, Adv.

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(INDU MARWAH)
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER(NSH)**

(signed order is placed on the file)