



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 139/2025****LAVA INTERNATIONAL LTD**AppellantThrough: Mr. Ashok K Aggarwal, Ms.
Gaurika Sood and Mr. Nimit Jadwani,
Advs.

versus

DOLBY INTERNATIONAL AB & ANR.RespondentsThrough: Mr. Mohd Affan, Mr. Devanshu
Khanna, Mr. Swarnil Dey and Mr. Yagya
Passi, Advs.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA****ORDER**% **06.11.2025**

1. We are surprised to note that in the format of the adjournment slips which have to be filled in by counsel who seek adjournment, there is no column requiring the reason for adjournment to be specified.

2. We find this to be unacceptable. The Registry is directed, therefore, to ensure that a fresh adjournment slip proforma is uploaded on the Delhi High Court website, in which a separate column setting out the reasons for adjournment is specifically included.

3. Adjournment slips which do not cite the reasons for adjournment would not be accepted by the Court Masters.



4. Let a copy of this order be forwarded to the Registrar General for ensuring compliance.

FAO(OS) (COMM) 139/2025

5. An adjournment is sought on the ground that counsel for the respondents had to travel outside India.

6. Given the importance of the issue in this case and the recurring nature of the dispute, we had specifically fixed this matter at 2.30 pm to be heard today.

7. We are, therefore, not inclined to allow the request for adjournment. However, as a matter of indulgence, re-notify on 11 November 2025 to be taken up in the Supplementary List.

8. The matter would not be passed over and would be taken up as and when it reaches after the list is called out once.

9. We also direct compliance with the directions for filing written submissions in at least 24 hours in advance of the next date of hearing with advance copy circulated to the Court Master.

10. Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2025/dsn