



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 647/2026, I.A. 15834/2026**

PUSHKAR RAJ THAKUR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Himanshu Goyal and Ms.
Muskan Garg, Advocates.

versus

JOHN DOE/ASHOK KUMAR AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Varun Pathak, Mr. Vishesh
Sharma and Ms. Prasadhi Agarwal,
Advocates for Defendant No.2.

Mr. Rohan Ahuja, Ms. Shruttima
Ehersa & Ms. Aiswarya
Debadarshini, Advocates *via* video-
conferencing for D-3.

Mr. Madhav Khosla, Advocate for
defendant No. 10.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

01.07.2026

Though the matter was initially deleted from today's list, it has been listed in view of it having been mentioned on 29.06.2026 before the Vacation Bench.

Mr. Himanshu Goyal, learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff submits, that despite order dated 29.05.2026, the plaintiff's videos continue to be taken down from the platforms of defendant No.2 on the basis of copyright strikes by alleged bad actors, who have uploaded the plaintiff's videos onto various other platforms with forged back-dated time-stamps.



Mr. Goyal submits, that the plaintiff requires urgent protection from the unlawful taking down of his videos.

Mr. Varun Pathak, learned counsel is present on behalf of defendant No.2. He submits, that defendant No.2 is ready and willing to cooperate with the plaintiff in preventing unlawful taking down of the plaintiff's videos.

Mr. Pathak assures the court that the plaintiff's videos will not be taken down on grounds of copyright strikes till the next date of hearing; and that the plaintiff's account will also not be closed by reason of alleged repeated copyright strikes.

Mr. Pathak further assures the court, that subject to the plaintiff furnishing to defendant No.2 the concerned URLs, defendant No.2 would also ensure that the plaintiff's deleted videos are restored.

The assurances given by defendant No.2 are noted.

Learned counsel has entered appearance on behalf of defendant No.10 – Telegram FZ LLC. In view of what has been submitted by learned counsel for defendant No.10, learned counsel for the plaintiff submits, that he has instructions to delete defendant No.10 from the array of parties.

Accordingly, defendant No.10 is deleted from the array of party-defendants.

Let Amended Memo of Parties be filed within 01 week.

List on 17th July 2026, the date already fixed.

The date of 10.08.2026 before the learned Joint Registrar shall remain as is.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JULY 1, 2026

V.Rawat