

VERDICTUM.IN

1

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.16

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1238/2019

SEEMA SAPRA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

Respondent(s)

(IA NO. 193628/2019, 193637/2019, 135033/2023 AND 145678/2023 IN CRL.A.NO. 1238/2019 BE LISTED.

IA No. 135033/2023 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION

IA No. 145678/2023 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

IA No. 193637/2019 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

IA No. 193628/2019 - MODIFICATION)

Date : 01-09-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

For Appellant(s) Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s) Ms. Kavita Jha, AOR
Mr. Aditeya Bali, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

When the matter was taken up for hearing, there was no representation and the matter was adjourned. Thereafter, during the proceedings, the petitioner made a mention and she was advised to renew her request after the Board gets over. When the matter was taken up for the second time, she insisted that an earlier date is to be given. She was informed that the matter may be taken up after four weeks instead of the adjournment made for eight weeks earlier. Despite that she wanted advancement of the hearing to two weeks and went on with her argument on the applications filed. We

could not persuade her in making her submission on the adjourned date. We could gather that she is not satisfied with our persuasion. Thus, it appears to us that it would not be appropriate to hear the matter. The Registry is directed to place the matter before Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India to list it before a Bench to which both of us are not party. While passing this order we are conscious of the background facts including the earlier orders passed.

**(KAVITA PAHUJA)
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(RAM SUBHAG SINGH)
COURT MASTER (NSH)**